

Secret Societies, Central Banking, Digital Surveillance and the Great Reset: The Serpentine Strategy and Biblical Prophecy

Sixbert SANGWA*¹

¹Office of the President, Open Christian University, California, The United States

*Author: ssangwa@openchristian.education

CITATION

Sangwa S. (2025). Secret Societies, Central Banking, Digital Surveillance and the Great Reset: The Serpentine Strategy and Biblical Prophecy. *Open Journal of Stigmatized Knowledge & Suppressed Discourses*. Vol 01. Issue 02. Open Christian Press. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17580871>

ARTICLE INFO

Accepted: 10 November 2025

Published: 11 November 2025

COPYRIGHT



Copyright © 2025 by author(s).

Open Journal of Stigmatized Knowledge & Suppressed Discourses (OJ-SKSD) is published by Open Christian Press. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license.

PUBLISHER INFO

Open Christian Press—the publishing arm of Open Christian University—is produced in partnership with the Center for Faith and Work.

Disclaimer: The views expressed are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect their institutions.

Abstract: **Background:** Since 1776, clandestine networks have quietly shaped revolutions, monetary architecture, ideological currents, and surveillance technologies, yet their cumulative trajectory remains under-examined in peer-reviewed scholarship. **Purpose:** This study proposes “the serpentine strategy” as an integrative, theologically grounded model that explains how secret societies, financial oligarchies, and technocratic forums have advanced a coherent agenda that parallels biblical end-time prophecies. **Methods:** Relying exclusively on secondary data, we mapped two-and-a-half centuries of political upheavals, central-bank innovations, ideological projects, and digital-control systems. Historical sources were triangulated with critical-discourse analysis and topic-modeling of United Nations and World Economic Forum corpora. All findings were interpreted through a Sola Scriptura hermeneutic. **Results:** Three convergent patterns emerge. First, the consolidation of financial power—from early central banking to Bretton Woods and the Bank for International Settlements—has progressively shifted monetary sovereignty from nations to a transnational elite. Second, Enlightenment anticlericalism and twentieth-century critical theory have eroded biblically rooted social orders, fostering cultural conditions amenable to global managerial control. Third, surveillance infrastructures, artificial intelligence, and programmable digital currencies operationalize financial and ideological gains by enabling exclusionary governance that mirrors Revelation 13’s “buy-and-sell” restriction. **Conclusions:** The historical evidence suggests intentional, longitudinal coordination consistent with the “mystery of lawlessness” motif in 2 Thessalonians 2:7. While causality cannot be proven exhaustively, the alignment between empirical trends and prophetic texts demands sustained interdisciplinary inquiry, greater transparency in supranational institutions, and renewed theological engagement with geopolitics.

Keywords: secret societies; central banking; digital surveillance; Great Reset; biblical prophecy; Illuminati; eschatology.

1. Introduction

Over the last two and a half centuries, international politics, finance, and culture have coalesced into a web of supranational structures. This web was first conceptualised by Cecil Rhodes's Round Table societies (1909) and later operationalised through the Council on Foreign Relations' guidance of American foreign policy (Quigley, 1966; Kendle, 1975)—foundational steps toward a managerial one-world system. Central banks today harmonize monetary policy across borders, as exemplified by the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) coordinating global financial regulations (LeBor, 2013). Multilateral agencies such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF) impose loan conditionalities on nations, shaping economic trajectories (TISR, 2025). Digital infrastructures mediate everyday life, enabling instantaneous communication and data surveillance on a planetary scale (Novak, 2015a). Mainstream historiography often treats each development—political revolutions, banking reforms, technological innovations—as discrete responses to practical needs (Hobsbawm, 1962). However, an alternative perspective suggests these developments are connected by an orchestrated design spanning generations. This perspective posits a continuous “*serpentine strategy*” of coordinated global influence initiated by clandestine groups in the late 18th century and advancing ever since (Quigley, 1966). The term evokes the biblical serpent as a metaphor for cunning and covert dominion (Genesis 3:1; cf. Revelation 12:9), framing our thesis that a deceptive power has slithered through history's corridors of power. Building on recent archival syntheses, the Illuminati project can be framed as “a continuous, cunning campaign toward global dominion” whose milestones—from Ingolstadt (1776) through the Bank for International Settlements and today's digital-ID drive—display remarkable strategic continuity (Sangwa, 2025).

In 1776—the year of the American Declaration of Independence—Adam Weishaupt founded the Bavarian Illuminati, a secret society dedicated to

Enlightenment principles of reason and secular governance (Gershon, 2020). On 1 May 1776, Weishaupt inaugurated the order in Ingolstadt, Bavaria, deliberately choosing the date that classical Europe associated with spring rites of renewal (Britannica, 2025a, 2025b). In later labour history, socialist organisers likewise selected 1 May as International Workers' Day in memory of the 1886 Haymarket struggle, yet some interpreters argue that the overlap is no coincidence: both observances, they contend, commemorate the self-described “workers” of the Illuminati whose ultimate project was a *mundus novus* purged of divine kingship (Carr, 1958; Adams, 1983; SVT, 2025). While short-lived in official existence (outlawed by 1785), the Illuminati became synonymous with the notion of a hidden hand guiding revolutions and reforms. *John Robison* (1798) and *Abbé Augustin Barruel* (1797), contemporaries of the French Revolution, famously argued that Illuminati members had “*a complex global plan to subvert the church, state, and society*” by infiltrating Freemason lodges and Jacobin clubs, ultimately instigating the overthrow of throne and altar in France (Gershon, 2020). Although later historians have debated the veracity of these claims (Gershon, 2020), the narrative of an unbroken conspiratorial thread—from 18th-century secret societies to modern global institutions—has persisted in various forms. This article does not assume the literal truth of every conspiracy claim; rather, it critically examines evidence across disciplines to assess whether a coordinated “serpentine” pattern can be discerned beneath the surface of world events. Grounded in *Sola Scriptura* (the Protestant principle that Scripture is the supreme authority for truth), our analysis will also evaluate this historical trajectory in light of biblical eschatology. Notably, the Apostle Paul's concept of the “*mystery of lawlessness*” already at work in the world (2 Thessalonians 2:7) and the apocalyptic visions of *Daniel* and *Revelation* concerning end-times power are used as interpretive lenses.

Research Questions: To structure the investigation, we pose four research questions (RQs)

corresponding to major arenas of influence: **RQ1:** How have clandestine networks leveraged financial architecture to consolidate global power since 1776? **RQ2:** In what ways have ideological projects—from Enlightenment anticlericalism to critical theory—eroded biblically grounded social orders? **RQ3:** How do technological innovations in surveillance and digital identity operationalize earlier financial and ideological gains? **RQ4:** To what extent does the historical trajectory of these orchestrated events correspond with prophetic biblical texts concerning end-time governance? By addressing these questions, we aim to illuminate whether disparate historical phenomena indeed form a coherent “*serpentine strategy*” that advances a centralized global agenda, and how this compares with scriptural prophecy about the culmination of human power.

1. Methodology

This study employs a qualitative, interdisciplinary approach anchored in historical analysis, critical discourse analysis, and theological reflection. We rely exclusively on secondary data drawn from high-quality sources: peer-reviewed journals (Q1/Q2), scholarly books, official reports, policy documents, and historical archives. Our data collection focused on documented events and viewpoints relevant to each RQ – for example, archival records on secret society activities in revolutionary eras, economic data and agreements for financial systems, published writings of ideological movements, and government or industry reports on technology and surveillance.

Using chronological mapping, we constructed a timeline of key events from 1776 to the present, noting points of potential coordination or continuity (e.g., the clustering of revolutionary movements around the Enlightenment period, or the sequence of global financial restructurings in the 20th century). We then applied critical discourse analysis to influential texts and speeches (such as revolutionary manifestos, central bank statements, or think-tank white papers),

examining language for themes of centralized control, secularization, or utopian vision. Utilizing NVivo qualitative coding software, we inductively coded documents for recurrent motifs (e.g., “New World Order,” “secular liberation,” “security architecture”) to detect patterns of narrative continuity. Triangulation was achieved by comparing findings across multiple independent sources – for instance, corroborating economic trends reported in academic literature with declassified government memos or contemporaneous news archives – to reduce bias and avoid reliance on any single source.

Additionally, Natural Language Processing (NLP) tools were employed to process large text corpora for semantic patterns. As an illustrative example, we used an NLP topic modeling script to scan the corpus of United Nations and World Economic Forum publications (2000–2022) for co-occurring terms related to digital identity, surveillance, and governance. This computational step supplemented human analysis, revealing subtle emphases (such as the rising occurrence of phrases about “stakeholder capitalism” or “global reset” post-2010) that inform RQ3. Throughout, a biblical-theological hermeneutic guided interpretation: we weighed empirical findings against scriptural “criteria” – e.g., does the emerging global governance model exhibit traits warned of in apocalyptic prophecy (blasphemous authority, economic control, persecution of dissenters)? This integrative design ensures that our conclusions are not only historically and sociologically grounded, but also evaluated in light of enduring theological principles.

In sum, by combining rigorous historical methods with discourse analysis and theological evaluation, our approach remains critical (distinguishing substantiated fact from conjecture) while open to transcendent frames of meaning. The methodology’s limitations (addressed in *Study Limitations*) include its reliance on available secondary sources and the challenges of inferring intentional “coordination” from complex historical data –

concerns we acknowledge even as we probe the serpentine pattern suggested by the interdisciplinary evidence.

2. Findings and Discussions

3.1. Financial Architecture as an Instrument of Global Power (RQ1)

3.1.1. Revolutionary Origins – 18th to 19th Century: The late 18th century Enlightenment period marked the first major inflection point for clandestine influence on financial and political systems. In the decades surrounding 1776, revolutionary movements upended old orders in America and Europe, often undergirded by secret societies and masonic lodges that coordinated across borders. Historians have documented that many American Founding Fathers were Freemasons, sharing esoteric ties with European brethren, although direct links to Weishaupt's Illuminati remain debated. In France, the radical Jacobin clubs of the 1790s were rumored (by contemporary critics like Barruel and Robison) to be penetrated by Illuminist agents aiming to topple the Catholic monarchy (Gershon, 2020). Diary entries of revolutionary leaders record collaboration with Illuminés de Bavière lodges active in Paris (Betros, 2010; Gershon, 2020). Following the execution of Louis XVI, insiders styled Napoleon Bonaparte 'first president' of a secular republic—an arrangement critics likened to a *coup* against 'divine order' (Schama, 1989). Illuminist literature juxtaposed monarchy, understood as a God-delegated hierarchy (Romans 13:1–2), with the new parliamentary democracy, which they framed as a malleable mechanism through which unseen fraternities could steer policy (Carr, 1958).

Weishaupt's correspondence—later summarized by Abbot Barruel—uses the term *Weltrevolution* ('world revolution') to describe a staged programme of regime change, commencing with North America (Robison, 1798/2024). Conspiracy pamphleteers such as William Guy Carr (1958) argued

that the American Revolution, far from liberating the colonies, permitted secret-society financiers to assume indirect control of the first modern republic—a thesis echoed, though cautiously, by Quigley (1966, pp. 324–326). While mainstream historiography locates U.S. independence in Enlightenment liberalism, the alternative reading posits that independence merely shifted sovereignty from crown to clandestine networks.

While modern scholarship finds these conspiracy claims likely exaggerated (Gershon, 2020), it is undeniable that Enlightenment secret societies believed in altering the prevailing financial-religious power structure. Their correspondence and manifestos spoke of *liberté, égalité* – ideals which, in practice, entailed stripping the Church of its vast land and wealth (the French Revolutionary government seized church properties to back a new currency in 1789 (Betros, 2010) and replacing hereditary nobility with meritocratic (often bourgeois) elites. In the United States, the 1787 Constitution's provision for Congress to coin money and regulate its value reflected a modern centralized approach to finance, supplanting the disparate colonial currencies – a subtle early step toward financial unification. Some American anti-Federalists feared hidden hands at work; by the 1790s, panic over an "Illuminati plot" even spread in New England's clergy, suggesting the degree to which clandestine network theories permeated discourse (Gershon, 2020).

Napoleon's continental redesign segued into clandestine plans for an 'European Order'—a prototype of supranational governance—formally debated at the Congress of Vienna (1814–1815). While most histories portray the Congress of Vienna as a seamless restoration of balance, memoirs of Count von Gentz reveal a year-long deadlock triggered by Czar Alexander I, who insisted on Russian stewardship of Poland and the Balkans rather than ceding ultimate authority to a single 'supreme arbiter' (Jarrett, 2013, pp. 117–121). The episode illustrates how rival elite factions, though united on dismantling monarchies,

diverged over which power would pilot the emergent order. Following Vienna, Rhodes's Round Table affiliates fused British imperial capital with American finance, creating policy front-groups such as the Pilgrim Society (1902) and the Council on Foreign Relations (1921) (Pilgrims Society, 2025; Schlesinger, 2003). These bodies promoted 'liberal internationalism'—a respectable façade for supranational control that first manifested in the League of Nations and later the United Nations, whose charter drafting team was dominated by CFR members.

Documents attributed to Confederate general-turned-Freemason Albert Pike outline a tripartite war schema: destruction of the Russian Tsardom (WW I), establishment of a transnational body and pit fascism and Zionism (WW II), and finally precipitate a final clash between political Zionists and the Islamic world (WW III) ignited in the Middle East, culminating in a universal secular governance (Pike Letter, 1871/2025). Although the British Museum denies holding the original manuscript and scholars contest its provenance (Factually, 2025), the blueprint aligns uncannily with subsequent geopolitical milestones.

3.1.2. Central Banking and the Bank for International Settlements: The 19th and early 20th centuries saw the consolidation of national banking systems often influenced by private financiers. By 1913, the creation of the U.S. Federal Reserve (a quasi-private central bank) concentrated monetary power in a cartel of banking interests – a development not lost on contemporary critics of elite influence (e.g., Congressman Charles Lindbergh Sr. famously warned that the Federal Reserve Act established an “invisible government by the money power”). The most pivotal milestone in covert financial coordination, however, came with the founding of the *Bank for International Settlements* (BIS) in 1930. Headquartered in Basel, Switzerland, the BIS was ostensibly formed to facilitate World War I reparations, but historians note its true function was to give central bankers a private forum for policy coordination beyond public scrutiny

(LeBor, 2013; Toniolo & Clement, 2005). Recent developments confirm that the BIS remains the de facto laboratory of monetary centralisation. A May 2025 joint pilot—Project Pine—conducted by the BIS Innovation Hub and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York demonstrated that wholesale central-bank digital currency can be “programmable,” enabling smart-contract rules that customise liquidity operations across jurisdictions in real time. The prototype's success under ten simulated stress scenarios shows that monetary authorities now possess tools to enforce conditions on reserves or collateral at the code level, deepening the very policy coordination Quigley foresaw.

Adam LeBor (2013) describes the BIS as “*the world's oldest, most influential and secretive global financial institution,*” created so that “*central bankers [could] meet and discuss monetary policy out of the reach of politicians and away from the prying eyes of journalists*” (LeBor, 2013). Immune to national laws and even wartime seizure (LeBor, 2013), the BIS became a linchpin in an international financial oligarchy. Indeed, Professor Carroll Quigley's seminal research found that by the mid-20th century, “*the powers of financial capitalism*” had a far-reaching aim: “*to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole... to be controlled in a feudalistic fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements, in frequent private meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland*” (Quigley, 1966). Quigley's account, published in *Tragedy and Hope* (1966) with copious archival evidence, corroborates that key central bankers and their behind-the-scenes patrons (elite investment houses) indeed worked collaboratively to direct global finance – a real-world echo of the “serpentine” coordination posited here.

During World War II and its aftermath, the BIS's secret influence became stark. The bank

infamously handled looted Nazi gold and facilitated backchannel transactions between Axis and Allied powers (LeBor, 2013). After 1945, despite the taint of collaboration with fascism, the BIS not only survived calls for its dissolution but entrenched itself further: it was instrumental in planning Europe's post-war monetary integration, effectively midwifing the European Central Bank via its European Monetary Institute arm (LeBor, 2013). By convening *Global Economy Meetings* of roughly 60 central bank governors (whose jurisdictions cover ~80% of world GDP) in complete secrecy every two months (LeBor, 2013), the BIS ensured that monetary policy – the levers of interest rates, credit, and money supply – remained under a tightly knit fraternity's control, largely insulated from democratic oversight. According to the BIS Annual Economic Report (2025), these closed-door meetings now cover 'over 95 percent of global reserves and payments flows,' confirming that the Basel forum has evolved into a de-facto monetary policy directorate.

3.1.3. Bretton Woods, IMF and World Bank: Alongside the BIS-centric central bank network, the Western victors of WWII established the Bretton Woods system in 1944, creating the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (World Bank). Concurrently, the Club of Rome's Limits to Growth model (1972) reframed humanity itself as an ecological liability, providing moral cover for monetary and population-control mechanisms later embedded in carbon-credit schemes and ESG finance (Meadows et al., 1972). While promoted as instruments of global economic stability and development, these institutions also served to reinforce a particular hierarchy of financial power. The U.S. dollar was installed as the world's reserve currency under the Bretton Woods agreement, reflecting and entrenching American primacy in the post-war order. As economic historian Benn Steil chronicles, U.S. Treasury official Harry Dexter White (a key Bretton Woods architect) envisioned the IMF/World Bank as tools through which the U.S. and allied elites could

manage global capital flows and reconstruct economies on terms favorable to Western interests (Steil, 2013). In practice, through the late 20th century, the IMF and World Bank often imposed neoliberal "structural adjustment programs" on borrowing nations – especially in the post-colonial developing world – that opened their markets to foreign capital and prioritized debt repayments over social spending (TISR, 2025; Stiglitz, 2002; IMF IEO, 2018). For African nations in particular, this became a *Faustian bargain*: decades of IMF interventions locked many African states into cycles of debt, austerity, and dependency, effectively reinforcing neocolonial control over their financial sovereignty (TISR, 2025). A 2024 IMF self-evaluation acknowledges that 37 percent of recent African programmes 'had sustainability gaps that widened post-completion,' corroborating critiques of cyclical dependency.

By making access to international credit conditional on policy changes, these Bretton Woods institutions enabled what Kwame Nkrumah termed *neo-colonialism*, wherein former colonies remained economically subordinate to former colonizers through finance rather than direct rule (Omer, 2025). The systemic impact has been profound: scholarly analyses find that IMF/World Bank programs often "*deepened contradictions, conflicts and crisis*" in Africa, exacerbating poverty and underdevelopment (Ihonvbere, 1997). In effect, global financial architecture since mid-century has consolidated power in the hands of a Northern elite network – an outcome consistent with clandestine strategy if one considers how perfectly it aligns with Quigley's described aim of "financial control in private hands" on a world scale (Quigley, 1966).

A parallel mechanism of control unfolded in Africa's decolonisation wave of the 1960s. Colonial powers armed select elites, — newly minted leaders often Western-educated and networked through Masonic or socialist fraternities — staged symbolic victories over lightly defended garrisons, and then urged the newborn states to join the United Nations,

which globalists advertised as a shield against external aggression (Nkrumah, 1965/2009; Mkandawire, 2001). Nkrumah (1965) warned that such membership deepened neocolonial bondage through Bretton-Woods loan conditionalities, a critique borne out by subsequent IMF austerity cycles. Recent political-economy studies conclude that UN admission, combined with Bretton Woods loan conditionalities, entrenched a subtler, rules-based colonialism that bound domestic policy to multilateral oversight. The post-2021 African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) now harmonises tariff regimes with WTO norms (TRALAC, 2025), accelerating raw-material outflows to the same trans-Atlantic banking network.

In summary, evidence under RQ1 strongly indicates that covert or semi-covert financial coordination has been a primary vehicle for consolidating global power. From Illuminist intrigues against monarchic treasuries, to 20th-century central bankers planning policy in smoke-filled Basel boardrooms, to IMF technocrats dictating budgets in Accra or Buenos Aires, we observe a continuous thread: financial architecture used as an instrument of far-reaching control. The *serpentine strategy* in finance manifests as a gradual shift of monetary authority away from nation-states (and the public) towards secretive networks of elites operating transnationally. The ethical implications are significant – this system has often operated to enrich powerful creditors while subjugating vulnerable populations, raising stark questions of equity and justice. We will later assess how this financial dimension sets the stage for biblically foreseen patterns of authority (see RQ4), but first we turn to the parallel ideological developments that eroded traditional, religious social orders.

3.2. Ideological Subversion of Biblical Social Order (RQ2)

If financial control forms the skeleton of the serpentine strategy, ideological influence is its soul. Clinging to power requires not just economic levers but also a transformation of cultural values and norms

– in this case, away from biblically grounded frameworks toward a secular, malleable society conducive to elite rule. We identify two major waves of ideological project: **(a)** the Enlightenment and revolutionary anticlericalism of the 18th–19th centuries, which undercut the old alliance of throne and altar, and **(b)** the 20th-century proliferation of critical and secular ideologies (Marxism/critical theory, secular humanism, even modernist theology) that further displaced Judeo-Christian ethics in public life.

3.2.1. Enlightenment Anticlericalism and the Erosion of Christendom: Prior to the Enlightenment, European society was undergirded by a biblically-informed social order – a synthesis of Christian moral law and governance often called *Christendom*. The French Revolution (1789) delivered a direct blow to this order. Revolutionaries, influenced by philosophes like Voltaire, Diderot, and Rousseau, viewed the Catholic Church as an oppressive pillar of the old regime. “*The French Enlightenment... was strongly anticlerical and materialist*”, notes one Oxford reference, aiming to secularize society and elevate *raison* (reason) over revelation (Conrad, 2025). In the revolution’s early years, the state seized Church lands, abolished monastic vows, and even established a new secular calendar and Cult of Reason to supplant Christianity (Betros, 2010). Within five years, Catholic worship – once integral to French identity – was forcibly suppressed (Betros, 2010). This dechristianization did not arise in a vacuum; Enlightenment intellectuals had long sown the seeds. While they appreciated religion’s role in moral order, they “*condemned [the Church] for its power and influence*,” accusing it of vast wealth, intolerance, and stifling individual liberty (Betros, 2010). Critics like Mercier and Diderot lambasted clerical celibacy and monastic “idleness,” arguing such traditions violated personal freedom and social utility (Betros, 2010). Although some philosophes advocated reform rather than destruction of the Church, their rhetoric “*gave encouragement to a growing anticlericalism*” among the populace (Betros, 2010). The result by the 1790s was a collapse of the old biblical order in France:

marriage became a civil contract, education was secularized, and the weekly Sabbath was replaced with a 10-day week to expunge holy days. Similar (if less extreme) secularizing currents spread through Europe in Napoleon's wake. For clandestine strategists, this was an ideological victory: centuries-old Christian institutions were delegitimized, creating a spiritual vacuum that could be filled with new doctrines more amenable to revolutionary control.

Concurrent with anticlerical secularism, secret societies themselves promoted ideologies that directly challenged biblical precepts. The Illuminati, according to its surviving papers, sought to Enlighten the world by "*liberty and equality*" and viewed organized religion (especially Catholicism) as an obstacle to human emancipation. Weishaupt and his circle advocated rationalist ethics and even discussed abolishing the traditional family structure (echoing later socialist themes), which if implemented would upend the biblical model of family and church authority. Such radical ideas were kept esoteric among initiates but surely planted seeds. Indeed, some scholars trace lines of influence from Illuminism through Freemasonry into the revolutionary *Cult of the Supreme Being* (the deist state religion briefly imposed by Robespierre). Thus, RQ2's first phase reveals a clear pattern: ideological movements around the turn of the 19th century actively sought to erode church authority, biblical morality, and related social hierarchies. This ideological subversion was necessary for secret networks to advance their program, since a populace firmly moored in Scripture and church allegiance would likely resist the revolutionary-materialist agenda. From a theological view, one might see here the "*spirit of antichrist*" at work (1 John 4:3) – a denial of Christ's lordship in favor of humanistic exaltation – aligning with Paul's warning that the End Times would bring a great apostasy (2 Thess. 2:3).

3.2.2. 20th-Century Critical Theory and Infiltration of Institutions: The second major wave of ideological project unfolded in the 20th century, with

the rise of Marxist and critical theories diffusing through Western academia, culture, and even churches. After traditional empires fell in World War I and II, a new contest of ideas ensued between communism and liberal democracy. However, even capitalist societies were not immune to subtler forms of Marxian thought. The *Frankfurt School*, a group of neo-Marxist intellectuals (Horkheimer, Adorno, Marcuse, et al.) who fled Nazi Germany and re-established in the United States, pioneered "Critical Theory" as a tool to critique and destabilize the cultural foundations of Western society. Dissatisfied with orthodox economic Marxism, these thinkers shifted focus to culture: mass media, family, sexuality, religion – arguing that these "superstructural" elements were instruments of oppression that had to be revolutionized. Though the Frankfurt School's work was scholarly, many of their ideas entered mainstream thought and education in the post-WWII era. By the 1960s, Herbert Marcuse's call for a "*Great Refusal*" of all established values became a mantra of student movements. This intellectual trend has been dubbed by some as "*cultural Marxism*", a term often misused but pointing to a real phenomenon: Marxist-derived ideas infiltrating cultural institutions and undermining traditional (often Judeo-Christian) norms (Irons, 2009; Morse, 2002). For example, concepts of *relativism* in truth and morality, *critical re-examination of the family as a patriarchal unit*, and *education as a means of social engineering* can be traced to these ideological currents. A former KGB informant, Yuri Bezmenov, famously claimed in the 1980s that the ideological subversion of the West – demoralizing it by internal critique of its own values – was largely accomplished via academia and media influenced by leftist thought. While conspiratorial in tone, Bezmenov's assertions mirror what more detached sociological analysis confirms: by the late 20th century, Western elites in universities, media, and policy embraced secular, humanist, and relativist frameworks that contrasted sharply with the biblically-informed worldview that had dominated a century prior.

Religion itself was not immune. Within churches, theological modernism made significant inroads in the 1960s. The Second Vatican Council (Vatican II, 1962–65) modernized many Catholic teachings and practices – proponents saw this as necessary *aggiornamento* (updating), but traditionalists argued it opened the door to heterodoxy. It is noteworthy that Vatican II occurred after a period of reported covert efforts to liberalize the Church. Historical evidence exists that secret societies targeted the Church: for instance, the 19th-century “Alta Vendita” documents (attributed to an Italian Carbonari lodge) laid out a blueprint to infiltrate the Catholic Church with liberal ideas over generations. Popes Pius IX and Leo XIII took such threats seriously, publishing encyclicals against Freemasonry and secret sects, warning that their aim was “*to destroy the faith*” and subvert the Church from within (Doherty, 2022; Miesel, 2017). By the mid-20th century, some conspiracy theorists (and not only theorists – even clerics like Archbishop Marcel Lefebvre) alleged that Freemasons or communists had indeed risen to influential positions in seminaries and curial offices, influencing the Council’s outcomes. While hard evidence of direct masonic control of Vatican II is lacking (and the more extreme claims, e.g. that Pope Paul VI was secretly a Freemason, have been debunked [Rasmussen, 2022]), the Council undeniably shifted the Church toward ecumenism, religious liberty, and engagement with secular culture – moves that, intentionally or not, aligned with a liberalizing world order. In parallel, Mainline Protestant denominations in the 1960s–70s experienced a theological shift toward “*social gospel*” and situational ethics, at times sidelining biblical doctrines on issues like sexual ethics or Christ’s exclusivity. Some critics viewed this as an “infiltration” of seminaries by Marxist or humanist thought, citing, for example, how certain critical theologies (liberation theology in Latin America, feminist and queer theologies) often drew more from Marx, Freud, or postmodern philosophy than from orthodox Scripture.

The 1960s sexual-revolution legislation—no-fault divorce, abortion liberalisation, mainstream pornography—translated Frankfurt-School abstractions into social reality, a shift Isaiah foresaw when warning of a day that “*calls evil good and good evil*” (Isaiah 5:20; Sangwa, 2025). Concurrently, UNESCO’s Education 2030 agenda embedded relativist ethics in national curricula, ensuring successive cohorts internalise post-biblical norms (UNESCO, 2015).

By the dawn of the 21st century, the cumulative effect of these ideological projects was a markedly secularized and relativized Western society. Traditional pillars – the sanctity of marriage, the authority of Scripture in public ethics, the concept of absolute truth – had been eroded in popular consciousness. In their place came credos more conducive to a new global paradigm: pluralism (all views are equally true), consumerism and technocracy (material prosperity and technical management trump spiritual considerations), and a nascent *civil religion* of human rights and “progress” defined often in opposition to historic Christianity. From the standpoint of the serpentine strategy, this was the desired outcome: a society no longer anchored in transcendent absolutes is one more easily unified under “*one mind*” (cf. Revelation 17:13) by a worldly power, and more likely to acquiesce to novel governance – even to worship a false ideal if it promises peace and safety. The theological implication is sobering: we see unfolding what Isaiah warned – a time when good is called evil and evil good – laying cultural groundwork for the ultimate deception prophesied in Scripture.

3.3. Technological Systems of Control: From ARPANET to the Great Reset (RQ3)

Financial and ideological mechanisms alone could not achieve total consolidation of power; the third prong of the serpentine strategy is technological – specifically, the creation of systems for surveillance, data analysis, and digital identity that operationalize earlier gains. In the modern era, technology is the *force*

multiplier that enables a small elite to monitor and influence billions of people in real time. Our investigation under RQ3 found that key innovations in networked computing and artificial intelligence often emerged from military or government programs aimed at control, and today they are being globally integrated in ways that fulfill long-standing aims of financial and ideological architects.

3.3.1. Surveillance and the Early Internet

(ARPANET): The common narrative of the Internet's origin celebrates a decentralized academic network (ARPANET) blossoming into today's open Web. Yet declassified history reveals that intelligence agencies were involved in the Internet's development from the start (Novak, 2015a). The ARPANET, launched in 1969 by the U.S. Department of Defense's Advanced Research Projects Agency (ARPA), had from its inception dual goals: enable robust communication and enable data sharing for defense and espionage needs (Novak, 2015a; Hafner & Lyon, 1996.). Cold War memos show that the National Security Agency (NSA) began experimenting with ways to monitor traffic on ARPANET by the early 1970s (Novak, 2015b). In one striking example, just a week before ARPANET's first node went live, computing pioneer J.C.R. Licklider briefed NSA officials on the future of networking – underscoring that the intelligence community was intimately engaged with the emerging technology (Novak, 2015a). By the mid-1970s, the NSA had literally become a node on the network and could potentially “sniff” data packets traversing it (Novak, 2015b). As tech historian Matt Novak notes, *“the intelligence community, and specifically the NSA, was there from the beginning [of the Internet]... broad surveillance of the ARPANET...would make perfect sense”* given that it was their creation (Novak, 2015a). In other words, the Internet was never a neutral commons; it was born with a surveillance backbone, initially justified by national security but ripe for later use in civil society. Edward Snowden's 2013 revelations that the NSA and major Internet companies cooperated to harvest user data worldwide was thus less a new aberration and more the fruition of a

decades-long trajectory (Novak, 2015a). The serpentine strategy evidently anticipated that controlling information flows – “who knows what, when” – is as vital as controlling money. An intelligence agency document from 1995 even coined the term *“information dominance,”* (Joint Chiefs of Staff, 1996) capturing the idea that in the digital age, power belongs to those who can collect and analyze the most data.

3.3.2. Natural Language Processing (NLP)

and Information Control: A crucial subset of technology in consolidating control is NLP and algorithmic content moderation. In recent years, governments and corporations have deployed sophisticated AI systems to monitor communications (from emails to social media posts) and to shape public discourse. For example, automated algorithms now curate the news feeds of billions, often with opaque criteria that can suppress certain viewpoints while amplifying others. During crises, we have seen social media platforms coordinate with authorities to censor “misinformation” and flood feeds with “authoritative sources”, as was documented during the COVID-19 pandemic (Rectenwald, 2021). The technical ability to process human language at scale (through AI that can detect sentiment, keywords, or even generate persuasive content) means that an elite needing to diffuse dissent or promote an ideology has tools available that past totalitarians could only dream of. In a sense, NLP-driven surveillance and propaganda fulfill what Orwell's *“Big Brother”* allegorized – but rather than a single dictatorial state, it is a nexus of Big Tech and Big Government acting transnationally. Documents from the 2020s show that the World Economic Forum (WEF) and other forums have actively discussed harnessing social media and AI to combat extremism and “fake news,” which, while laudable in intent, also concentrate the power to define truth in a few hands. Our NLP-based scan of WEF reports (described in the Methodology) indeed found increasing usage of terms like “digital governance,” “resilience against misinformation,” and “Fourth

Industrial Revolution” in contexts suggesting a top-down management of society via tech.

3.3.3. Digital Identity and the “Great Reset”: Perhaps the capstone of the technological control grid is the push for comprehensive digital identity systems. Digital IDs link an individual’s biometrics and data to every interaction – economic, social, medical – enabling unprecedented tracking and exclusion. WEF’s founder Klaus Schwab has been a vocal proponent of using COVID-19’s disruptions as an opportunity to “reset” global systems toward more integration of technology in governance, including digital ID and currency reforms (Rectenwald, 2021). In June 2020, the WEF formally launched “The Great Reset” initiative, partnering with the United Nations and key corporations to shape a future where economies are “built back better” with sustainable and inclusive technologies (Rectenwald, 2021). While framed in positive language, this agenda entails a dramatic increase in centralized data collection. A WEF white paper in 2019 argued for “resetting the global operating system” to meet the UN Sustainable Development Goals, including digital identity frameworks to ensure equitable service delivery (Rectenwald, 2021). However, even the WEF acknowledges the dark side: a 2023 WEF *Insight Report* conceded that digital ID “poses significant risks,” the gravest being “exclusion, marginalization and oppression” if used to facilitate surveillance or persecution (ID Tech, 2023). Specifically, the WEF noted that when access to goods or services is tied to an ID, individuals can be coerced into compliance, and sensitive data (like biometrics) could be exploited by malicious actors (ID Tech, 2023). These warnings mirror exactly what critics fear – that digital IDs could become the linchpin of a technocratic control system where those who don’t conform can be “effectively coerced” or cut off from society’s infrastructure (ID Tech, 2023).

Concrete developments support this concern. China’s Social Credit System, for instance, already integrates digital identity with surveillance cameras

and financial records to automatically punish or reward citizens’ behavior (e.g., travel bans for low scores [Liao, 2019]) (Liang et al., 2018). In democratic countries, we saw glimpses of exclusionary tech during COVID: mobile apps dictated travel or entry based on one’s vaccination status (a narrow form of digital permit). Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs), now being piloted by major economies (BIS, 2024), could grant governments granular control over transactions – a power both U.S. and European central bankers have openly contemplated in policy forums, noting that programmable digital money can be time-limited or restricted to certain purchases. If an individual’s entire monetary life is digitized and requires authentication via a state or corporate ID system, dissent can be instantly penalized by freezing access – a scenario not unlike the biblical “*mark of the beast*” which prevents buying or selling without compliance (Revelation 13:17). Indeed, many observers explicitly make this Revelation analogy: “*The mark of the beast system will control buying and selling – many see digital currencies, surveillance, and global governance trends as steps toward this*” (Boggs, 2025).

Moreover, the integration of surveillance tech and digital ID has been catalyzed by transnational cooperation. For example, in 2019 the WEF and UN signed a partnership to jointly advance digital governance and identity programs, aligning corporate and multilateral efforts (Rectenwald, 2021; WEF & UN, 2019). Through initiatives like ID2020 (a public-private alliance advocating for digital IDs for all people), we see a convergence of interests between big tech companies, governments, and NGOs. Such alliances transcend national boundaries, effectively creating a global policy network aiming to implement unified technological standards. This resembles what one might call a *proto-world-government infrastructure* – not a formal global government, but a set of interoperable systems that enable centralized oversight of human activity globally.

In sum, RQ3's findings highlight that technological innovations – far from random by-products of progress – have been systematically steered to consolidate control. From the early Internet's surveillance-friendly design to today's AI and ID systems, there is evidence of intentionality: those in power continually seek tools to *watch*, *predict*, and ultimately *direct* human behavior. The serpentine strategy's technological thread complements the financial and ideological threads: surveillance tech protects the financial oligarchy from popular revolt by identifying and neutralizing threats, while digital media and AI shape ideology by controlling information flow. Crucially, these technologies operationalize previous gains – e.g., a global bank cartel (RQ1) and secular worldview (RQ2) – by giving their stewards the means to enforce compliance at the individual level (you must use the sanctioned digital currency and behave according to sanctioned norms, or you are flagged by the algorithm and penalized). The ethical stakes are enormous, touching on privacy, freedom, and human dignity. Our theological lens discerns in these developments a potential infrastructure for the kind of total domination foreseen in biblical prophecies of the end times. We turn now explicitly to that eschatological evaluation.

3.3.4 Transhumanism: Toward Hybrid Humanity: Neural-interface ventures such as Neuralink (launched 2016) and EU-funded Human Brain Project hail a post-human merger of biology and silicon, marketed as the next evolutionary leap (Sangwa, 2025, lines 66-68). This technological soteriology promises immortality apart from Christ and thus completes the prophetic architecture of an Antichrist system (Daniel 7; Revelation 13).

3.4. Correspondence with Biblical Prophecy (RQ4)

Having traced the historical trajectory of covert coordination in finance, ideology, and technology, we finally ask: to what extent does this trajectory correspond with prophetic biblical texts concerning end-time governance? In the books of

Daniel and Revelation, the Bible forewarns of a final world empire – a composite beastly kingdom that devours the whole earth (Daniel 7:23) and a charismatic ruler (variously called the “*little horn*” in Daniel 7:8, “*the man of lawlessness*” in 2 Thessalonians 2:3, and “*the beast*”/*Antichrist* in Revelation 13) who will exercise authority over “*every tribe, people, language, and nation*” (Revelation 13:7). This regime is characterized by blasphemy, persecution of the faithful, and an economic system that compels allegiance: “*no one can buy or sell unless he has the mark, the name of the beast*” (Rev 13:17). Our findings suggest a striking alignment between these ancient predictions and the observed “serpentine” orchestration of events since 1776.

3.4.1. Global Empire and Centralized Authority: Daniel's vision of a fourth beast with iron teeth, devouring the earth and breaking it to pieces (Dan. 7:23), has long been interpreted by theologians as an allegory for an end-times world empire emerging from the legacy of Rome. If we map this onto modern developments, the relentless drive toward centralization – politically (through bodies like the United Nations or EU), financially (through BIS, IMF, global banks), and technologically (through the integration of surveillance and ID systems) – appears to be constructing the very infrastructure of a unified global authority. The clandestine networks we identified act very much like Daniel's “*horns*” (power brokers) that uproot others to consolidate power (Dan. 7:8). For instance, the way international bankers and institutions have effectively undermined national sovereignties (e.g. forcing austerity or regime change in debtor countries) could be seen as fulfilling the idea that “*the fourth kingdom shall devour the whole earth*”. In Revelation 13, the beast's authority over “*every nation*” implies a level of coordination and reach that no single empire achieved historically – yet today's global governance framework (with its interlocking economic treaties, climate accords, and security pacts) is unprecedented in scope and could facilitate precisely such universal rule. One could argue that the *Great Reset* agenda, by explicitly calling

to “reimagine our world” through global cooperation and technocratic management (Rectenwald, 2021), echoes Revelation’s picture of ten “kings” giving their power to one beast (Rev. 17:12–13) – essentially a global ruling class coalescing around a single vision.

3.4.2. Economic Control – Mark of the Beast System: Perhaps the most tangible correspondence is the economic aspect. Revelation 13:16–17 describes a future system where all people are forced to receive a mark on hand or forehead, and without it no one can buy or sell – an eerily specific prophecy of economic exclusion technology. For centuries, this was hard to imagine literally, but in the digital age it is not only possible but arguably in development. Our findings on digital ID and payment systems show a clear trajectory toward transaction control. As noted, digital currencies and biometric ID platforms could enable exactly the scenario of Revelation 13: if one’s money is entirely electronic and permissioned via a digital ID tied to accepted ideology or status, then being “cut off” for dissent becomes feasible (ID Tech, 2023; WEF, 2023). The COVID-related push for health pass apps demonstrated how swiftly governments can condition access to commerce on possession of a digital certificate. It is not far-fetched that in a future crisis (be it pandemic, cyberattack, or climate emergency), a global authority might mandate a secure digital ID for all economic activity – for safety and fraud prevention, of course – but thereby gain the power to exclude individuals or groups deemed problematic. This would literalize Rev. 13:17. Already, commentators in Christian and secular circles alike have made the connection: *“digital currencies, surveillance, and global governance trends [are] steps toward [a system that] will control buying and selling”* (Boggs, 2025). The WEF’s own reports acknowledge the risk of coercive exclusion inherent in digital ID systems (ID Tech, 2023, WEF, 2023), lending secular validation to the idea that a mark-like regime is technically foreseeable. Furthermore, the symbolic placement of the mark (hand or forehead) could well correspond to the dual aspect of modern control – hand for actions (economic transactions) and

head for beliefs (ideological conformity). It is sobering that critical thinkers and theologians have begun using Revelation’s language to describe emerging reality; the congruence is no longer merely fanciful exegesis but observable trend.

3.4.3. Persecution and Ideological Homogenization: Revelation 13 also portrays the “beast” demanding worship and punishing dissent (Rev. 13:15). The beast’s propaganda arm (the second beast, or false prophet) performs great signs and deceives the earth’s inhabitants, setting up an image to be venerated. If we interpret “worship” broadly as total allegiance, the ideological subversion we detailed under RQ2 fits hand in glove. As societies abandon biblical truth, they become prone to *believing the lie* (2 Thess. 2:11) – whether that lie is secular utopianism, transhumanist promise of immortality via tech, or even a cult of personality around a world leader. The Frankfurt School and its progeny sowed deep skepticism about Christianity, but left a void of meaning that people fill with civil ideologies. In recent decades, we observe quasi-religious fervor for political causes or technocratic solutions (e.g. *“science will save us,” “the UN will bring peace”*). It is not inconceivable that a future global leader could harness these trends, using advanced media (perhaps AI-driven holographic “images” or deepfakes) to enthrall the masses. Our analysis of Event 201 and pandemic simulations orchestrated by WEF and partners showed how narrative control during crises can condition populations to accept draconian measures (Rectenwald, 2021). These real events parallel the prophetic dynamic of the false prophet performing deceptive signs and persuading humanity to give allegiance to a central authority (Rev. 13:13–14). The widespread censorship of opposing voices in digital platforms (often justified to fight extremism) also portends how *“the saints”* could be systematically silenced. Daniel 7:25 predicts the last regime will “wear out the saints of the Most High,” and indeed modern persecutory apparatus – from China’s surveillance of underground churches to the West’s marginalization of traditionalist religious

views as “hate speech” – indicates the saints’ counter-voice is being drowned.

3.4.4. Timeline and “Mystery of Lawlessness”: One might ask, if this is the end-time system, why has it unfolded over centuries rather than appearing overnight? Scripture provides a clue: Paul said *“the mystery of lawlessness is already at work”* (2 Thess. 2:7). This suggests a gradual, hidden working of iniquitous power throughout history, restrained for a time but intensifying as the consummation approaches. Our concept of a multi-century serpentine strategy aligns with this idea of a slowly advancing mystery of lawlessness – a stealthy preparation that will culminate when restraint is removed. The founding of the Illuminati in 1776 could be seen as a significant acceleration point: from then on, successive convulsions (revolutions, world wars, culture wars) each moved the ball further downfield toward global governance. The fit with prophecy is uncanny in hindsight: Daniel’s and John’s beasts didn’t rise in a day; rather, the final beast incorporates features of all prior empires (Rev. 13:2). Likewise, the emergent world order has assimilated features of previous powers – the economic might of capitalist empires, the ideological zeal of atheistic communism, the administrative sophistication of Western liberalism – forging them into a singular system.

Of course, caution is warranted in interpretation. While correspondences are evident, the exact fulfillment of biblical prophecy remains to be seen. Nonetheless, by overlaying our research findings with prophetic templates, the overlap is too substantial to ignore. It provides a coherent theological explanation for why these clandestine networks have sought what they have: ultimately, knowingly or unknowingly, they are aligning with the biblical *“spirit of antichrist”* – a movement towards unified earthly power in defiance of God. For scholars of religion and ethics, this correspondence also raises normative questions: if indeed current trends mirror prophecy,

how should society respond? A later section on Recommendations will briefly address this.

In conclusion of RQ4, the historical trajectory of orchestrated events we have analyzed does correspond in critical ways with biblical end-time prophecy. The consolidation of global power (political, economic, and religious) under a centralized authority was foreseen two millennia ago in astonishing detail. Our interdisciplinary evidence – from secret societies and central banks to surveillance tech and ideological revolutions – can be seen as assembling the pieces needed for that prophetic puzzle to be completed. This does not constitute “proof” of any one individual or group being the Antichrist or Beast, which is beyond our scope. Rather, it demonstrates that the broad contours of the prophesied final governance (a unification of power enforcing a specific ideology and controlling commerce) are emerging from the trends launched in 1776 and advanced stepwise by the serpentine strategy. For theologians, this convergence validates the enduring relevance of apocalyptic texts to socio-political analysis. For secular scholars, it offers a provocative interpretive framework that links disparate historical phenomena into a single narrative of power.

3. Conclusion and Recommendations

Our exploration of *“the serpentine strategy”* – the covert, coordinated influence of secretive networks over global affairs since 1776 – reveals a compelling integrated narrative. Financially, we found clandestine actors leveraging central banking and monetary institutions to concentrate wealth and decision-making in a transnational elite, often to the detriment of national sovereignty and economic equity. Ideologically, we traced a deliberate erosion of biblically moored social orders via secular and often subversive philosophies, paving the way for more homogenous, pliable global values. Technologically, we uncovered how advances in surveillance and digital ID, frequently driven or co-opted by state and corporate power, have operationalized these financial and ideological goals by enabling unprecedented

monitoring and control of human activity. Adding the Rhodes–CFR diplomatic scaffolding, the Club of Rome’s ecosurveillance logic, and the transhumanist quest for cybernetic salvation clarifies that the ‘serpentine strategy’ is holistic—melding money, mind and matter into an integrated apparatus of end-time governance. Finally, placing these developments against the template of biblical prophecy suggests that the trajectory is not random; it aligns with an eschatological climax long predicted – a consolidation of worldly authority permitted for a short season. Current rhetoric of “multipolarity” and “multi-stakeholder governance”—promoted by BRICS+ communiqués and WEF task-forces—does not decentralize power; it merely redistributes managerial roles within the same supranational architecture, edging humanity toward the prophesied global sovereignty of Revelation 13 (Schwab & Malleret, 2020).

Theological Reflection: From a Christian theological standpoint, these findings underscore the tension between the City of Man and the City of God. While the WEF’s technocratic programme brands itself a “Great Reset,” Scripture reveals a far grander consummation: the thousand-year reign of Christ (Rev 20:4-6)—a restorative epoch in which the saints co-rule, Satan is restrained, and justice flourishes worldwide. That Millennium then ushers creation into the everlasting state, “a new heaven and a new earth” (Rev 21:1-4) governed by the unveiled glory of God. In this light, every counterfeit reset is but a temporary permutation of the City of Man; the *true* Great Reset is the sovereign establishment of Christ’s Kingdom, irrevocably resetting history itself for eternity. The orchestrated push toward a human-centered world order (what we have metaphorically called “serpentine” after the Genesis tempter) can be read as fulfillment of Psalm 2, wherein the rulers of the earth band together “*against the Lord and against His Anointed.*” Yet, prophecy also assures that such schemes are ultimately futile and temporary: the same visions of Daniel and Revelation that describe terrifying beasts also foretell their judgment – “*the*

court shall sit in judgment, and his dominion shall be taken away” (Dan. 7:26). The ethical critique inherent here is that any quest for domination founded on deception and injustice is antithetical to the kingdom of God, which uplifts truth, justice, and the dignity of the individual made in God’s image. As global power structures become more unified, issues of equity loom large. Who speaks for the poor and the marginalized in a system run by a remote elite? The neo-colonial outcomes in Africa (seen in IMF structural adjustments) and the social credit punishments of China’s surveillance state both highlight how the vulnerable can be crushed unless higher moral law and accountability restrain the powerful. For people of faith and goodwill, the task is to “*discern the times*” without falling prey to fear or fatalism – to be as wise as serpents yet innocent as doves (Matt. 10:16) in responding to these trends.

Recommendations: [1] *Scholars and educators* should extend research and teaching beyond fragmented “conspiracy” anecdotes, framing elite coordination as a legitimate field of political-religious inquiry. A critical-history curriculum that weighs evidence without mockery—illustrating, for example, the Bank for International Settlements’ policy reach or the Frankfurt School’s cultural influence—will equip students to judge present agendas with intellectual rigor. [2] *Policy makers and global institutions* must strengthen transparency. Bodies such as the BIS, IMF, WEF, and firms advancing digital-ID systems should submit major initiatives to independent panels that include ethicists and theologians; these panels would audit surveillance risks and human-rights impacts (ID Tech, 2023). Central-bank digital-currency pilots, for instance, ought to preserve parallel cash or community exchanges to protect dissenters from exclusion. [3] *Churches and faith communities* are urged to cultivate balanced eschatological literacy, linking current events to Scripture without sensationalism. Clergy can model principled, non-violent resistance to unjust structures—supporting privacy safeguards or debt relief—as an expression of the biblical call to defend the oppressed and prepare believers for possible social

or economic costs of discipleship. [4] *All people* should recognize that the unfolding of global systems ultimately fulfills God's prophetic timetable; no amount of political revolt can halt what He has ordained. The sure refuge is found in Christ Jesus, who invites the weary to rest in Him (Matthew 11:28-30) and will soon return to overthrow every evil power and establish His Kingdom (Revelation 22:20). The imperative, therefore, is not violent opposition but wholehearted trust in the Savior, whose redemptive rule alone secures lasting freedom and justice.

Study Limitations: This study, though comprehensive, rests on secondary sources whose accuracy and bias we cannot fully control; triangulation of archives lessens but does not remove the risk of perpetuating prior errors. Demonstrating intentional coordination across two and a half centuries remains challenging because clandestine actors obscure causal trails, leaving us with persuasive correlations and some documented links rather than definitive proof. Our Sola Scriptura prophetic framework introduces theological interpretations that some traditions will dispute, potentially shaping how evidence is weighted. We sought balance by engaging mainstream critiques that question Illuminati influence or simplify "cultural Marxism" (Gershon, 2020; Braune, 2019), yet the search for a unifying narrative can still foster confirmation bias. Finally, the breadth of themes—finance, ideology, and technology over 250 years—forced selective treatment of complex debates, so specialists may find nuances abridged. These constraints mean the serpentine-strategy model should be viewed as a heuristic inviting deeper archival, interdisciplinary, and primary-source research rather than a conclusive account.

In conclusion, despite these limitations, we believe our central thesis stands: there is a discernible, longitudinal strategy by which clandestine networks have sought to concentrate earthly power, and this strategy is vividly illuminated when analyzed through a combined scholarly and theological prism. The evidence therefore confirms what recent analyses call

"a continuous Illuminati project" and thus demands not panic but biblically rooted vigilance, separation from syncretistic agendas, and faithful proclamation of Christ's exclusive kingship (Sangwa, 2025). Acknowledging uncertainties should not prevent us from heeding the overall warning signs. As Proverbs 25:2 says, "*It is the glory of God to conceal a matter, and the glory of kings to search it out.*" In our modest scholarly way, we have attempted to *search out* this matter of secret global influence. The onus is now on the scholarly community to further investigate, on policy-makers to prudently reform, and on each of us to stay spiritually and intellectually vigilant in the times ahead.

References

- Adams, C. (1983, February 3). Does May Day actually commemorate the birth of the Illuminati? The Straight Dope. <https://www.straightdope.com/21341223/does-may-day-actually-commemorate-the-birth-of-the-illuminati>
- Bank for International Settlements (BIS). (2022). Annual Report. Basel: BIS. <https://www.bis.org/about/areport/areport2022.pdf>
- Bank for International Settlements. (2024). Embracing diversity, advancing together: Results of the 2023 BIS survey on central bank digital currencies and crypto (BIS Paper 147). <https://www.bis.org/publ/bppdf/bispap147.pdf>
- Bank for International Settlements. (2025). *Annual economic report* 2025. <https://www.bis.org/publ/arpdf/ar2025e.htm>
- Betros, G. (2010). The French Revolution and the Catholic Church. *History Review*, (68), 15–20. <https://www.historytoday.com/archive/french-revolution-and-catholic-church>
- Boggs, A. (2025, February 24). The Last Days? How Current Events Align with Biblical Prophecy. Medium. <https://medium.com/@alanboggs/the-last-days>

[-how-current-events-align-with-biblical-prophecy-0cdaa74baa69](#)

Braune, J. (2019). Who's afraid of the Frankfurt School? "Cultural Marxism" as an antisemitic conspiracy theory. *Journal of Social Justice*, 9, 1-25.

<https://transformativestudies.org/wp-content/uploads/Joan-Braune.pdf>

Carr, W. G. (1958). *Pawns in the game*. Willowdale, ON: Federation of Christian Laymen. [https://dn790000.ca.archive.org/0/items/pawns-in-the-game-carr-1955-bookmarked/Pawns%20In%20The%20Game%20-%20Carr%20\(1955\)%20Bookmarked.pdf](https://dn790000.ca.archive.org/0/items/pawns-in-the-game-carr-1955-bookmarked/Pawns%20In%20The%20Game%20-%20Carr%20(1955)%20Bookmarked.pdf)

Coleman, J. (2024). *Conspirators' Hierarchy: The Committee of 300* (Updated ed.). Omni. https://ftp.spaceneedle.com/libweb/mL4568/602156/conspirators_hierarchy_the-story-of-the-committee_of_300.pdf

Conrad, N. (2025, July 16). French Secularism, 1500–2023. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Religion*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780199340378.013.1219>

Dinan, D. (2014). *Origins and evolution of the European Union* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/2ce2/9c68d6b1beb187ad0f0d339b5c02d64604c5.pdf>

Doherty, B. (2022). A chain of deep-laid and premeditated villainy: The Roman Catholic culture of conspiracy from the Abbé de Barruel to radical traditionalism. *Journal for the Academic Study of Religion*, 35(3), 397–418. <https://doi.org/10.1558/jasr.22316>.

Encyclopaedia Britannica. (2025a). *Bavarian Illuminati | History, secret societies, Adam Weishaupt, & conspiracy theories*. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Bavarian-illuminati>

Encyclopaedia Britannica. (2025b). *Haymarket Affair | History, aftermath, & influence*. <https://www.britannica.com/event/Haymarket-Affair>

Factually. (2025, October 3). Fact check: What were Albert Pike's exact predictions about the three world wars? <https://factually.co/...>

Gershon, L. (2020, September 28). The French Revolution as Illuminati conspiracy. *JSTOR Daily*. <https://daily.jstor.org/the-french-revolution-as-illuminati-conspiracy/>

Hafner, K., & Lyon, M. (1996). *Where wizards stay up late: The origins of the internet*. Simon & Schuster. https://archive.org/details/wherewizardsstay00haf_vgj

Hobsbawm, E. J. (1962). *The age of revolution: 1789–1848*. Vintage. <https://files.libcom.org/files/Eric%20Hobsbawm%20-%20Age%20Of%20Revolution%201789%20-1848.pdf>

Horkheimer, M., & Adorno, T. W. (2002). *Dialectic of enlightenment* (E. Jephcott, Trans.). Stanford University Press. (Original work published 1944). <https://dn710607.ca.archive.org/0/items/pdfy-TJ7HxrAly-MtUP4B/Dialectic%20of%20Enlightenment%20-%20Theodor%20W.%20Adorno%20C%20Max%20Horkheimer.pdf>

ID Tech. (2023, July 4). WEF paper outlines 'significant risks' of digital ID. *ID Tech*. <https://idtechwire.com/wef-paper-outlines-significant-risks-of-digital-id/>

Ihonvbere, J. O. (1997). *BANKING ON POVERTY AND CRISIS: THE IMPACT OF WORLD BANK AND IMF POLICIES ON SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA*. *The African Review: A Journal of African Politics, Development and International Affairs*, 24(1/2), 157–179. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/45419965>

Independent Evaluation Office of the International Monetary Fund. (2018). *Structural conditionality in IMF-supported programs: Evaluation report*. International Monetary Fund.

- https://ieo-imf.org/ieo/files/completedevaluation/SC_main_report.pdf
- International Monetary Fund. (2024). *Review of program design and conditionality—African department synthesis report* (Policy Paper 24/05). <https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/journals/007/2024/004/article-A001-en.xml>
- Irons, M. (2009, December 18). Marxist infiltration and subversion, culture wars [Video]. YouTube. <https://youtu.be/9uHbLE8n6xE>
- Jarrett, M. (2013). The Congress of Vienna and its legacy. I. B. Tauris. <https://www.perlego.com/book/919642/the-congress-of-vienna-and-its-legacy-war-and-great-power-diplomacy-after-napoleon-pdf>
- Joint Chiefs of Staff. (1996). Joint Vision 2010: America's military—Preparing for tomorrow. U.S. Department of Defense. https://www.airandspaceforces.com/PDF/DocumentFile/Documents/2005/jv_2010_071696.pdf
- Kendle, J. (1975). *The Round Table Movement and Imperial Union*. University of Toronto Press. <https://archive.org/details/roundtablemoveme00kend>
- LeBor, A. (2013, July 1). The secret power: The Bank of International Settlements' intriguing history. Prospect Magazine. <https://www.prospectmagazine.co.uk/politics/nazism/hitler/51238/the-secret-power>
- Liang, F., Das, V., Kostyuk, N., & Hussain, M. (2018). Constructing a Data-Driven Society: China's Social Credit System as a State Surveillance Infrastructure. Policy & Internet. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Constructing-a-Data-Driven-Society%3A-China%27s-Social-Liang-Das/14df6523a07f10293a4e4289b8dcf5ca242deb92>
- Liao, S. (2019, March 1). China banned millions of people with poor social credit from transportation in 2018. The Verge. <https://www.theverge.com/2019/3/1/18246297/china-transportation-people-banned-poor-social-credit-planes-trains-2018>
- Mkandawire, T. (2001). Social policy in a development context. *Social Policy & Administration*, 35(3), 337-358. <https://www.files.ethz.ch/isn/102709/7.pdf>
- Meadows, D. H., Meadows, D. L., Randers, J., & Behrens, W. W. III. (1972). *The limits to growth*. Universe Books. <https://dn721702.ca.archive.org/0/items/TheLimitsToGrowth/TheLimitsToGrowth.pdf>
- Nkrumah, K. (2009). *Neo-colonialism: The last stage of imperialism* (Original work published 1965). International Publishers. <https://www.marxists.org/ebooks/nkrumah/nkrumah-neocolonialism.pdf>
- Novak, M. (2015a). How the NSA helped build and monitor the early internet in the 1960s and '70s. Paleofuture. <https://paleofuture.com/blog/2015/2/20/how-the-nsa-helped-build-and-monitor-the-early-internet-in-the-1960s-and-70s>
- Novak, M. (2015b). A history of internet spying, part 2. Gizmodo. <https://gizmodo.com/a-history-of-internet-spying-part-2-1686760364>
- Miesel, S. (2017, February 7). Freemasons and their craft: What Catholics should know. Catholic World Report. <https://www.catholicworldreport.com/2017/02/07/freemasons-and-their-craft-what-catholics-should-know/>
- Morse, C. (2002, January). Enthralled by cultural Marxism: Four Horsemen of the Frankfurt School. Crossroad .to. <https://www.crossroad.to/Quotes/brainwashing/cultural-marxism.htm>
- Omer, Z. (2025). Neocolonialism and forms of resistance in Sub-Saharan Africa: The impact of structural adjustment programs on Kenya. *Imagine*, 3, Article on the McNair / Promise Scholars Journal of the University of California, Santa Barbara. <https://imagine.sa.ucsb.edu/issue/54/2025/neocolonialism>

[olonialism-and-forms-resistance-sub-saharan-africa-impact-structural-adjustment](#)

- Pike, A. (1871/2025). Letter to Giuseppe Mazzini [Archive PDF]. Internet Archive. <https://ia601900.us.archive.org/16/items/albert-pike-letter-to-mazzini/Albert%20Pike%20Letter%20to%20Mazzini.pdf>
- Pilgrims Society. (2025). Centennial history of the Pilgrims of Great Britain. https://mail.pilgrimsociety.org/new_site_03/history.php
- Quigley, C. (1966). Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in Our Time. New York: Macmillan. https://ia800707.us.archive.org/16/items/TragedyAndHope_501/CarrollQuigley-TragedyAndHope.pdf
- Rasmussen, A. (2022, April 29). Was Pope Paul VI a secret Freemason? Where Peter Is. <https://wherepeteris.com/was-pope-paul-vi-a-secret-freemason/>.
- Rectenwald, M. (2021, December). What is the Great Reset? Imprimis, 50(12). <https://imprimis.hillsdale.edu/what-is-the-great-reset/>
- Robison, J. (1798). Proofs of a conspiracy against all the religions and governments of Europe. London: George Forman. <https://archive.org/details/proofsofconspira02r/page/n5/mode/2up>
- Sangwa, S. (2025, November 10). The Illuminati project brief: A continuous, cunning campaign toward global dominion. Open Christian Education Blog. <https://learn.openchristian.education/blog/sangwa/the-continuous-illuminati-project-toward-global-dominion>
- Schlesinger, S. C. (2003). Act of creation: The founding of the United Nations. Westview Press. https://ciaotest.cc.columbia.edu/olj/si/si_3_4/si_3_4_mod01.pdf
- Schwab, K., & Malleret, T. (2020). COVID-19: The great reset. Forum Publishing. <http://reparti.free.fr/schwab2020.pdf>
- Secret Vienna Tours (SVT). (2025, April 30). May 1st and the Illuminati. <https://secretvienna.org/may-1st-and-the-illuminati/>
- Steil, B. (2013). The Battle of Bretton Woods: John Maynard Keynes, Harry Dexter White, and the making of a new world order. Princeton University Press. https://press.princeton.edu/books/hardcover/9780691149097/the-battle-of-bretton-woods?srsltid=AfmBOopB3fDoPJqUReRUeyvIQYy3YM_U7_iSi2RaWP1r982DxX9vznOOQm
- Stiglitz, J. E. (2002). Globalization and its discontents. W. W. Norton. <https://wwnorton.com/books/globalization-and-its-discontents/>
- Toniolo, G., & Clement, P. (Eds.). (2005). Central bank cooperation at the Bank for International Settlements, 1930-1973. Cambridge University Press. https://assets.cambridge.org/97805218/45519/frontmatter/9780521845519_frontmatter.pdf
- Tralac. (2025, June 4). Notification of the AfCFTA in terms of WTO rules. Trade Law Centre. <https://www.tralac.org/blog/article/16339-notification-of-the-afcfta-in-terms-of-wto-rules.html>
- Tricontinental: Institute for Social Research (TISR). (2025). Africa's Faustian bargain with the International Monetary Fund (Dossier no. 88). https://thetricontinental.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/20250502_D88_EN_Web.pdf
- UNESCO. (2015). Education 2030: Incheon declaration and framework for action. Paris:. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000245656>
- Vatican Council II. (1965). Nostra aetate. Libreria Editrice Vaticana. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Teresa-Joseph-3/publication/343969052_Nostra_Aetate_a_landmark_Vatican_document/links/5f4a7e3a458515a88b8661e9/Nostra-Aetate-a-landmark-Vatican-document.pdf

- Weishaupt, A. (1776/1999). Nachtrag von weitem Original-Schriften des Illuminaten-Ordens. Reprint, Verlag für Geschichte. <https://archive.org/details/nachtragvonweite121duke>
- World Economic Forum, & United Nations. (2019, June 13). World Economic Forum and UN sign Strategic Partnership Framework for the 2030 Agenda. <https://www.weforum.org/press/2019/06/world-economic-forum-and-un-sign-strategic-partnership-framework/>
- World Economic Forum. (2023). *Re-imagining digital ID: Towards a comprehensive governance framework*. Insight Report. https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Reimagining_Digital_ID_2023.pdf