



Faithful Scholarship. Open Knowledge. Enduring Service.



Open Journal of Stigmatized Knowledge & Suppressed Discourses

OJ-SKSD | ISSN 3105-3033 | Open access

A title of **Open Christian Press**, a publishing imprint of Open Christian University and Center for Faith and Work.

ESSAY

Volume 2 | Issue 3 | 2026 | Article 168

DOI: 10.65655/ocp.ojsksd.168

A world in chaos: Who engineers, amplifies and exploits crisis on the road to 2030?

Documented political manipulation, wars, disasters, institutional power, historical designs and a biblical warning for 2027–2030

Sixbert SANGWA

Open Christian University, Sacramento, California, USA

Correspondence: ssangwa@openchristian.education | ORCID: 0000-0001-8650-1444

RECEIVED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	PUBLISHED
19 October 2026	20 October 2026	20 October 2026	21 October 2026

ABSTRACT

This documentary essay examines how political, military, environmental, and public-health crises are deliberately produced, amplified, or exploited, and how their consequences may shape governance on the road to 2030. Drawing on institutional records, declassified archives, scientific and humanitarian assessments, contemporary reporting, and the author's earlier writings, it analyses developments from June 2025 to 20 October 2026 through a critical historical and biblical lens. Cases involving political unrest, Ukraine, Sudan, the Israel–Iran confrontation, natural hazards, and disease emergencies reveal distinct but interacting pathways of intentional harm, institutional opportunism, and social vulnerability. Historical records of covert intervention establish that certain forms of manipulation are real; they do not substantiate a single hidden command directing every contemporary disaster. Public agendas for international coordination, digital identity, artificial intelligence, and financial interoperability likewise create opportunities for cooperation alongside risks of surveillance, exclusion, and concentrated power. The essay evaluates the unverified letter attributed to Albert Pike, the biblical accounts of Isaac and Ishmael, and Persia's appearance in Ezekiel 38–39 without equating contested claims or eschatological interpretations with historical proof. It also considers military adaptation during the Middle East and Ukraine conflicts while distinguishing operational learning from a predetermined world-war strategy. Retrospective comparison with the author's pre-2025 warnings identifies thematic continuities but no verified prophetic timetable. A four-part framework distinguishes direct orchestration, organized amplification, opportunistic exploitation, and structural convergence. The essay concludes that Christian preparedness requires biblical fidelity, evidence-based discernment, institutional accountability, compassion for victims, and watchfulness without date-setting.

Keywords: crisis orchestration; institutional power; Israel–Iran conflict; covert operations; digital governance; Agenda 2030; biblical eschatology; Christian discernment

HOW TO CITE

Sangwa, S. (2026). A world in chaos: Who engineers, amplifies and exploits crisis on the road to 2030? *Open Journal of Stigmatized Knowledge & Suppressed Discourses*, 2(3), Article 168. <https://doi.org/10.65655/ocp.ojsksd.168>

COPYRIGHT & LICENCE

© 2026 Sixbert SANGWA. Published by Open Christian Press. Distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) licence.

Introduction: The crisis is real, but what explains the pattern?

A person watching the news between June 2025 and October 2026 could reasonably feel that the political and social foundations of the world are being shaken. Large demonstrations have filled the streets of countries separated by thousands of kilometres. Violent unrest has brought fires, barricades, casualties, police crackdowns, and, in some places, profound changes in government. Wars have devastated infrastructure and livelihoods. Major floods, cyclones, earthquakes, wildfires, and droughts have displaced communities and intensified hunger and insecurity. In the same period, international institutions have accelerated discussions about digital public infrastructure, artificial intelligence governance, health cooperation, sustainable development, and future forms of economic coordination. These are not merely images taken from social media; numerous elements are documented by primary records and independent reporting.

There is sufficient primary evidence to take a position: substantial portions of contemporary disorder are intentionally produced, strategically amplified or deliberately exploited. Military strikes do not erupt from nowhere; governments authorize them. Protest networks can organize across borders; authorities may use unrest to justify exceptional police powers. Corrupt contracts can destroy a community's flood protection. The World Economic Forum openly framed a pandemic as an occasion for structural reform. None of these observations depends on trusting an anonymous leak. Yet proof of these discrete practices is not proof that every storm, earthquake or street protest comes from one concealed command. The purpose of this investigation is to expose the actual chains of agency and power, not to substitute coincidence for documentary evidence.

The argument developed here is consequently both more demanding and more unsettling than a montage of crises. Deliberate production of suffering is already demonstrable in war, political violence, violent repression, corruption, and certain forms of technological or environmental intervention. At the same time, crises can be exploited by parties that did not cause them. The more difficult and necessary inquiry concerns the relationships among intentional creation, organized amplification, institutional response, and long-term concentration of power. These distinctions allow Christian readers to investigate genuine wrongdoing without mistaking conjecture for revelation.

This article adopts a documentary approach using publicly available institutional records, scientific assessments, contemporary journalism, and primary ideological writings, with an interpretive period from 1 June 2025 to 20 October 2026. Historical documents predating this period are examined as intellectual or operational background, not automatically as predictions fulfilled by contemporary events. Its theological reading is conservative, *Sola Scriptura*, futurist and pretribulationist, while recognizing that theological expectation and empirical demonstration are different kinds of claims.

1. The global picture: simultaneous pressure, not automatically one cause

The overall scale of conflict provides a sobering starting point. The [ACLED Conflict Index and 2026 Watchlist](#) reports that worldwide conflict and political violence in 2025 exceeded

550 recorded incidents per day on average. The number reflects ACLED's specific event definitions and reporting methodology, not every act of violence in the world. It nevertheless documents a sustained and geographically extensive burden of armed confrontation, particularly as air strikes, drones, and attacks affecting civilians become increasingly prominent. This is a more reliable measure than assuming that every video on a social platform depicts a new event (ACLED, [2025](#)).

The deterioration of political freedom is similarly measurable. [Freedom House's *Freedom in the World 2026*](#) records the twentieth consecutive year of net global democratic decline during 2025, with 54 countries showing deterioration in political rights or civil liberties and 35 improvements. Its [*Freedom on the Net 2025* report](#) identifies the fifteenth consecutive year of declining global internet freedom. The evidence reveals multiple mechanisms of repression, including censorship, surveillance, and the use of digital controls during political crises. It does not establish a single directing intelligence, but it does show that the conditions for authoritarian overreach are neither imagined nor confined to one continent (Freedom House, [2026](#); Freedom House, [2025](#)).

The environmental record requires equal precision. According to the [Emergency Events Database's 2025 annual assessment](#), 358 natural hazard-related disasters were recorded in 2025, causing 16,607 reported fatalities, affecting 110.2 million people, and producing an estimated US\$169.68 billion in economic losses. Although these totals are grave, the number of disasters was below the preceding two-decade average. The word *unprecedented* must therefore be reserved for the events or indicators that actually set records. This distinction protects the article against exaggerated claims and allows attention to remain on objectively serious patterns (Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters, [2026](#)).

An even larger picture emerges when disaster risk is measured beyond immediate damage. The [UN Office for Disaster Risk Reduction's 2025 Global Assessment Report](#) explains how indirect economic losses, supply-chain interruptions, ecological damage, debt accumulation, and interrupted development can make the full cost of disaster much greater than initial assessments suggest. In other words, disorder is not only a visual spectacle of fire, floodwater, or crowds. It operates through systems of food distribution, insurance, transportation, energy, credit, education, and public administration, each of which can amplify the consequences of disruption elsewhere (United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, [2025](#)).

2. Political upheaval from mid-2025: the causes behind the images

Southeast Asia: Indonesia and the politics of inequality

Indonesia's protests in August 2025 were sparked in part by public anger over generous parliamentary allowances during widespread concern about living costs and inequality. [Associated Press reporting on the parliamentary protests](#) documented students confronting security forces in Jakarta. The death of a motorcycle-taxi driver during police operations contributed to escalation and national outrage. The sequence matters: a disputed benefit for political elites generated a public response, and the handling of that response deepened the crisis. These were concrete political mechanisms, not

mysterious signs detached from local conditions (Associated Press, [2025d](#)).

Indonesia illustrates the difference between a movement's origin and subsequent attempts to direct it. Political parties, unions, activist organizations, and media personalities can enter an emerging protest and seek to shape its language, targets, and tactics. Their involvement may be demonstrable from speeches, organizing documents, and public campaigns. Such evidence can establish mobilization or amplification; it does not automatically establish that protesters' underlying grievances were invented, or that every participant shares the aims of more radical groups.

Nepal: communication control and the collapse of legitimacy

In Nepal, September 2025 demonstrations began amid opposition to restrictions on social-media platforms and quickly merged with anger over corruption and political privilege. The protests escalated into widespread violence and ultimately forced Prime Minister K. P. Sharma Oli from office. The crisis demonstrated a direct connection between digital policy, public legitimacy, the mobilizing power of younger generations, and a sudden transformation of political authority. These features make Nepal an important case study for those concerned about governments controlling the infrastructure of public speech (Associated Press, [2025a](#)).

The proper conclusion is not that Nepal's youth collectively sought a world government. Their central demands concerned domestic accountability and political practices. Nevertheless, the episode demonstrates that a technical or administrative restriction can catalyse a much larger struggle over political sovereignty. A government that sees online communication as a threat may respond with greater coercion, and that coercion can accelerate precisely the disorder it seeks to suppress. The lesson concerns the dangers of censorship and crisis escalation, whatever ideological explanation is later attached to the images.

Africa: Kenya, Madagascar, Morocco and Tanzania

Kenya's renewed youth demonstrations in June 2025 emerged from unresolved grievances involving taxation, the cost of living, official accountability, and police conduct. Madagascar later experienced protests over electricity and water shortages, governance failures, and corruption; the unrest eventually became part of a broader military and political upheaval. Morocco's GenZ 212 protests drew attention to public services, health, education, and youth opportunity. Although the movements shared generational frustration and social-media visibility, their institutional contexts and trajectories differed substantially. Describing them as simultaneous therefore does not demonstrate a common external director (Reuters, [2025b](#); Reuters, [2025c](#)).

Tanzania's post-election crackdown provides considerably stronger evidence of intentional harm. In December 2025, [Amnesty International reported that security forces used unlawful and disproportionate lethal force](#) during demonstrations that followed the October elections. The investigation describes the use of live ammunition, attacks on people posing no immediate lethal threat, and serious violations of the right to peaceful assembly. This is identifiable state action, supported by investigation, rather than a general inference from dramatic footage. It demonstrates how political authorities themselves can intensify an emergency and how the

concept of maintaining order can be invoked alongside practices that destroy public trust (Amnesty International, [2025](#)).

The Philippines and Peru: corruption, violence and public distrust

The Philippines offers one of the clearest links between environmental vulnerability, corruption allegations, and mass mobilization. Investigations in 2025 concerning flood-control projects exposed allegations of defective construction, overpricing, and kickbacks. An [Associated Press report on the Senate inquiry](#) describes testimony about substandard projects and alleged financial misconduct. Public frustration grew because the misuse of funds intended for flood protection has consequences that can be measured in flooded homes, damaged livelihoods, and avoidable casualties. This is a serious form of man-made risk without requiring anyone to have manufactured rainfall (Associated Press, [2025c](#)).

Peru's October 2025 demonstrations likewise reflected domestic concerns about corruption, insecurity, and political leadership. The repeated sequence across countries deserves attention: public grievances produce crowds, confrontations magnify grievances, and rival political actors seek control of the resulting narrative. Still, the resemblance of a crowd scene in Lima to one in Manila or Paris cannot authenticate a claim of common command. Evidence of orchestration would require traceable financing, organizer communications, operational instructions, or verified coordination across those particular campaigns (Associated Press, [2025b](#)).

Additional cross-border mobilization: Bulgaria and Brazil

In December 2025, Bulgaria experienced large anti-corruption demonstrations that contributed to the collapse of the government. Young activists mobilized through online channels while public frustration deepened over elite accountability. The event belongs in any defensible chronology of youth-led challenges to political power, but its causal chain lies in Bulgaria's own institutions. Similar tactics across countries demonstrate organizational learning and shared communication tools; proving foreign direction would additionally require records of cross-border instruction, financing or planning (Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, [2026](#)).

In November 2025, Indigenous groups interrupted access to the COP30 climate summit in Belém, Brazil, demanding protection of Indigenous land and questioning resource extraction and infrastructure development in the Amazon. Reuters recorded the event on location. This case complicates the simplified claim that every environmental protest advances an elite global agenda: the protesters were directly challenging decisions made around a high-level international meeting. A more meaningful investigation asks whether affected communities actually possess representation and the power to refuse projects undertaken in their name (Reuters, [2025a](#)).

3. Europe in October 2026: the strongest contemporary coordination allegation

By October 2026, major demonstrations were unfolding across France and several other European countries. In France, protests about education, public services, living costs, and political dissatisfaction coincided with episodes of arson, vandalism, looting, and clashes with police. [Associated Press's account of the 17 October demonstrations](#) distinguished extensive peaceful mobilization from violent actions

by subsets of participants. Subsequent [AP reporting on the government's response](#) described widespread arrests, damage to businesses, and intense controversy over policing. The injuries inflicted on protesters by police weapons are also part of the evidence and cannot be excluded simply because they complicate a preferred political narrative (Associated Press, [2026a](#); Associated Press, [2026c](#)).

European unrest was not one homogeneous revolt. [Le Monde's 20 October regional assessment](#) identifies economic pressure and housing insecurity as major shared themes, but the grievances and movements differed in Italy, Belgium, Spain, and Portugal. In Albania, challenges to Prime Minister Edi Rama developed through a separate national political confrontation. The convergence of protest images can reflect shared economic pressures, transnational communication, imitation of tactics, international political solidarity, or direct coordination. These are competing and sometimes overlapping explanations, and they should not be conflated (Le Monde, [2026](#)).

On 19 October 2026, Asra Q. Nomani published a [Fox News Digital investigation identifying 54 organizations and movements in the French protest ecosystem](#). The report traced communist and socialist organizations, unions, student groups, pro-Palestinian activists, international media amplifiers, and other participants or supporters. It described messages encouraging wider European mobilization, including proposed strikes. This constitutes evidence of overt ideological networking and of an organized effort to influence political mobilization. Its importance should not be underestimated (Nomani, [2026](#)).

The limits are equally significant. The investigation grouped together direct organizers, protesters, solidarity organizations, and actors who publicized events. Membership in this wider ecosystem does not establish shared criminal intent or responsibility for arson. An organization can endorse demonstrations without instructing participants to commit violence, and a journalist can amplify a movement without secretly directing it. To demonstrate a conspiracy to engineer specific riots, an investigator would need more than compatible political views and interlinked public communications. The strongest defensible claim is that significant parts of the protest environment are organized and transnational, while the assertion of one operative command directing all disorder remains unproven (Nomani, [2026](#); Associated Press, [2026c](#)).

That distinction brings the central issue into sharper focus. Both radical organizers and established political authorities can profit strategically from unrest. Activist factions may use anger to recruit supporters or expand their influence. Governments may use violence as grounds for curfews, surveillance, arrests, or expanded police powers. Partisan media may select footage that reinforces predetermined explanations. Investigating each actor's incentives and actions provides a more precise account of deliberate manipulation than attributing every participant and every damaged building to the same shadowy sponsor.

4. Armed conflict: the most unmistakably manufactured chaos

War is the strongest contemporary example of deliberately created human catastrophe. Military leaders select targets, governments finance campaigns, armed factions occupy territory, and combatants destroy infrastructure. Although the

broader origins of particular wars can be contested, the killing, displacement, and destruction caused by human decisions are not natural disasters. The [ACLED 2026 Watchlist](#) highlights continuing risks in Ukraine, Sudan, Myanmar, Syria, the Sahel, the Red Sea, and parts of the Americas. These crises have distinct histories but reveal a shared pattern of civilians bearing costs imposed by coercive institutions and armed actors (ACLED, [2025](#)).

Ukraine remains a stark example. The [UN Human Rights Monitoring Mission's report for September 2026](#) records at least 328 civilians killed and 2,105 injured in that single month, with long-range weapons and drones responsible for a substantial proportion of documented casualties. In addition to direct deaths and injuries, attacks and military operations threaten housing, electricity, healthcare, economic activity, and movement. These are intentional military actions whose consequences often extend beyond the formally declared targets. The case demonstrates how technology can amplify the scale and persistence of human-caused devastation (United Nations Human Rights Monitoring Mission in Ukraine, [2026](#)).

Sudan's war reveals another dimension. At the conflict's thousand-day point in January 2026, the [United Nations reported nearly 34 million people requiring humanitarian assistance](#), with approximately one in three Sudanese people displaced internally or across borders. Fighting has disrupted food production, trade, hospitals, water systems, and the functioning of communities. The resulting hunger emergency is explicitly characterized by the UN as man-made. This is a powerful reminder that catastrophic deprivation can be deliberately produced through warfare, sieges, the denial of aid, and the destruction of productive systems without invoking meteorological technology (United Nations, [2026](#)).

In Gaza, the interaction between armed conflict and environmental exposure is particularly striking. The [UN humanitarian situation report dated 15 October 2026](#) estimates that approximately 950,000 displaced people were living in sites facing medium-to-high flood risk, including more than 400,000 at high risk. Rain falling on an area whose homes, drainage systems, shelters, and basic services have been severely disrupted can create extraordinary harm. The environmental hazard need not be artificial for the resultant suffering to have important human causes. The same analytical principle applies to conflict-related disease, hunger, and winter exposure elsewhere (United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, [2026](#)).

Eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo, Haiti, Myanmar, the Sahel, and the Red Sea region provide additional examples of politically organized insecurity. In some settings, armed groups operate within transnational networks of financing, cross-border interests, and criminal economies. These connections justify careful financial and political investigation. Still, the fact that several groups exploit instability does not demonstrate they all serve the same director. The real international system may be dangerous precisely because multiple rival state and non-state actors pursue advantage simultaneously (ACLED, [2025](#)).

The Middle East energy shock: how a military decision spreads chaos worldwide

The 13–24 June 2025 Israel–Iran confrontation offers a particularly clear example of intentional military actions producing international reverberations. Israeli strikes on Iranian

military and nuclear facilities were followed by Iranian missile retaliation and United States strikes on Iranian facilities. The competing security justifications must be examined, but the operational fact is unmistakable: states selected military targets, launched attacks and imposed foreseeable risks on civilian populations and regional stability. The humanitarian effects were consequences of human decisions rather than environmental chance (Al Jazeera, [2025](#)).

The escalation of the United States–Israel conflict with Iran in 2026 created a still more consequential transmission mechanism. Interference with the Strait of Hormuz and attacks involving regional oil infrastructure disturbed energy markets and contributed to higher transport and fuel costs. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas researchers assessed the macroeconomic consequences of the disruption. The path from military operations to energy-price shocks and then to cost-of-living unrest is a concrete, documentable chain, not a mysterious alignment of unrelated images. It provides an example of how decisions taken by a small number of state actors can transmit instability across continents, even without evidence of a single authority organizing subsequent demonstrations (Kilian et al., [2026](#)).

This linkage makes the political economy of conflict essential to any analysis of the road to 2030. When energy bills rise, public services face cost pressure, household purchasing power falls and protest movements can intensify. Some organized actors will then seek political advantage from the discontent. Their exploitation may be deliberate, even if they did not launch the missiles or decide the original policies. The mechanism is interconnected, and the danger of emergency legislation or coercive policing should be judged through the measures actually enacted (Kilian et al., [2026](#); Associated Press, [2026a](#)).

Israel–Iran, the contested Pike letter, Isaac and Ishmael, and the horizon of Ezekiel 38–39

The Israel–Iran confrontation raises an additional question that moves from strategic analysis to historical claims and biblical eschatology: could the intensification of hostility involving Israel, Iran, and great-power militaries become a pathway toward a more extensive international war? The June 2025 military exchange, U.S. participation, and subsequent confrontations provide an observable setting for analysing escalation, deterrence, operational learning, and the danger of miscalculation. A Washington Institute assessment argues that the twelve-day war exposed important assumptions about Iranian retaliation and escalation management (Eisenstadt, [2026](#)). None of this establishes that a third world war has been ordered in advance, but it makes the risk of conflict spillover a legitimate subject of sustained inquiry.

A frequently repeated allegation attributes to Albert Pike a letter dated 15 August 1871 to Giuseppe Mazzini supposedly foretelling three world wars and describing a final struggle involving political Zionism and Islam. The purported passage is striking when read against contemporary Middle Eastern confrontation, which helps explain its appeal within prophetic and clandestine-history narratives. Its documentary status, however, is decisive: the claimed 1871 original has not been authenticated, no dependable archival chain of custody is available, and the British Library responded to an information request concerning the alleged correspondence in 2023 (British Library, [2023](#)). A resemblance between an unattributed prediction and a later event cannot establish

authorship, date, or operational intent. The Pike narrative may therefore be assessed as an influential modern claim about planned conflict, not cited as an authenticated nineteenth-century blueprint.

The biblical starting point is more secure and also more nuanced. Genesis identifies Ishmael as Abraham’s son through Hagar and Isaac as the promised son through Sarah; it records domestic tension and separation ([Genesis 16:1–16; 17:15–21; 21:8–21](#)). God nevertheless promises to make Ishmael into a nation ([Genesis 21:13, 18](#)), and the brothers later appear together when burying Abraham ([Genesis 25:9](#)). Within a conservative covenantal reading, Isaac is the line through which the specific covenant promise is confirmed; this does not convert every subsequent political dispute into an unbroken war between two biological descendants. Genesis does not mention Islam, which emerged many centuries later. Nor can all Muslims be equated with Ishmael’s descendants: Iran, in particular, is a predominantly Persian society, not an Ishmaelite ethnic category. Thus the popular formula “Isaac versus Ishmael equals Israel versus Muslims” can serve at most as a limited theological analogy, not as a sufficient historical explanation of the present Israel–Iran war.

Scriptural promises and contemporary national identity must not be collapsed. [Genesis 17:19–21](#) distinguishes Isaac’s covenantal lineage from Ishmael’s promised multiplication, while [Genesis 25:12–18](#) supplies a genealogy of Ishmael’s descendants. Modern Iranian policy, Israeli security decisions, Sunni–Shia divisions, Palestinian nationalism, state interests, and external military assistance have histories that cannot be reconstructed from those genealogies alone. A genuinely scriptural account recognizes the covenant narrative while refusing to impute collective guilt, hostility, or divine condemnation to all Arab or Muslim persons. It also remembers that the God of Abraham heard Hagar’s distress and preserved Ishmael ([Genesis 16:11; 21:17–20](#)).

Ezekiel 38–39 supplies a second and more directly geographical point of contact. The prophecy names “Persia” among the peoples associated with Gog’s coalition against Israel ([Ezekiel 38:2–6](#)), and it depicts a decisive divine intervention in which the attacking forces are judged and God’s holiness is made known among the nations ([Ezekiel 38:18–23; 39:1–7, 21–29](#)). Because ancient Persia is closely associated with the territory of modern Iran, contemporary Iranian hostility toward Israel understandably attracts attention in futurist interpretations. Nevertheless, the text does not name the modern Russian Federation, the United States, the year 2027, or the current Israel–Iran engagement. Identifying Gog or Magog with a present state, and dating the fulfillment, requires additional interpretive arguments rather than direct quotation from the chapter. Current alignments may be viewed as possible precursors; they cannot yet be declared the demonstrated entirety of Ezekiel’s predicted invasion.

The claim that the United States is gaining combat preparation from the Middle East has a demonstrable core. Reporting on 20 October 2026 documents U.S. Army commanders using lessons from Iranian missile and drone attacks, alongside observations from Ukraine, to update air-defence training, simulations, concealment, dispersal, and management of interceptor stocks (Panella & Baker, [2026](#)). That is a measurable transfer of operational experience into force readiness. It would nevertheless be a different, much stronger charge to say Washington deliberately initiated or prolonged the conflict principally as a rehearsal for World War III. Evidence of

actual learning does not, by itself, prove that a future world war is the chosen objective or that a war's victims were made instruments of a training programme.

Russia is likewise adapting its military while fighting the prolonged war it launched against Ukraine. An assessment by the Royal United Services Institute describes tactical innovation in drones, long-range strikes, disrupted logistics, smaller-unit operations, and efforts to sustain forces under attrition, while noting significant organizational constraints (Minniti & Pili, 2026). A separate CSIS study traces the gradual absorption of field-proven unmanned-system practices into Russia's institutional training and military structures (Bondar, 2026). Such findings support the description of Ukraine as a battlefield generating dangerous military experience. They do not establish that Moscow keeps the war "endless" for training purposes; political objectives, Ukrainian resistance, attrition, resource constraints, battlefield adaptation, and negotiations must be analysed distinctly. The terrible human cost is not merely a military exercise.

The strategic concern is cumulative. As the United States and its partners develop experience defending against complex missile attacks, and Russia refines strike and drone capabilities through continuous combat, future escalations could become more technically sophisticated, geographically interconnected, and destructive. Iranian military cooperation with Russia and Israel's continuing security confrontation sharpen this concern without demonstrating a common hidden command. For a Christian essay, the disciplined conclusion is neither complacency nor a calendar of inevitable catastrophe: the trajectories of war warrant urgent public scrutiny, while Jesus' warning about wars and rumours of wars forbids mistaking their occurrence for proof that the appointed end has already arrived (Matthew 24:6–8). Ezekiel's ultimate emphasis is the vindication of the Lord, not an invitation to romanticize warfare or treat any nation's civilian deaths as an acceptable prophetic necessity.

5. Floods, cyclones, wildfires, droughts and earthquakes: a rigorous disaster chronology

Environmental events require discipline because intense images are easily stripped of their original time, location, and context. Some clips circulated in 2026 may show earlier disasters, entirely separate demonstrations, or events whose original captions have changed. A credible investigation should start with official event records, contemporary reports, local meteorological or geological data, and humanitarian assessments, and only then determine whether the footage matches a particular occurrence. Geographic repetition in a collage is not a causal analysis.

The second half of 2025 saw damaging monsoon floods in Pakistan and major flooding across parts of Asia. In Sri Lanka, Cyclone Ditwah made landfall in late November, bringing extensive floods and landslides. A [UN Development Programme satellite assessment](#) estimated that approximately 2.3 million people lived in the affected flood footprint and more than one million hectares were inundated. The [UN's December situation report](#) recorded severe housing damage and displacement. Here, credible claims concern meteorological conditions, land use, vulnerable housing, infrastructure, and disaster preparedness. Claims that the cyclone was deliberately manufactured require entirely different evidence, which has not been established by these disaster reports (United

Nations Development Programme, 2025; United Nations, 2025).

Wildfires provide equally compelling numbers. The [European Commission's Joint Research Centre reported that more than 1.07 million hectares burned across the European Union during 2025](#), the highest EU total in its satellite-based historical series. Severe August fires in Portugal and Spain accounted for a large proportion of the damage. Weather, vegetation, land management, human ignition, and suppression capacity all influence wildfire behaviour. Consequently, the correct inquiry is not whether fires can be caused intentionally, since arson is real, but whether forensic investigators have identified deliberate ignition in the individual event under examination (European Commission, Joint Research Centre, 2026).

Major earthquakes during the period included the 31 August 2025 earthquake in eastern Afghanistan and the 29 July 2025 magnitude 8.8 earthquake near the Kamchatka Peninsula. The [EM-DAT annual report](#) records approximately 2,200 deaths in Afghanistan. Geological explanations for such earthquakes are investigated through location, depth, fault movement, aftershock sequences, seismic waveforms, and plate tectonics. A large earthquake occurring at the same time as political unrest is not, without a demonstrated geophysical mechanism, evidence that the two events share a human organizer (U.S. Geological Survey, 2025).

Drought and intense heat threaten food security, water resources, and political stability. The [World Weather Attribution review of extreme events in 2025](#) examined heatwaves, rainfall, storms, droughts, and wildfires, highlighting the role of anthropogenic warming in certain hazards alongside the vulnerability of exposed communities. The [World Meteorological Organization's State of the Global Climate 2025](#) reports that the years 2015–2025 were the eleven warmest on record. Long-term warming, however, is not equivalent to evidence that a particular agency activated a machine to generate a specific drought or storm (World Weather Attribution, 2025; World Meteorological Organization, 2026).

The crucial causal point is that a disaster has several components. A **hazard** is the physical event; **exposure** determines who or what lies in its path; **vulnerability** determines how much harm occurs; and **governance** influences preparation and response. Humans can alter any of these components. Unsafe construction, corruption, deforestation, inadequate warning systems, poorly maintained dams, and unequal access to shelter may increase deaths even where rainfall or seismic activity is not engineered. The [UNDRR assessment](#) treats disaster risk as a development and governance problem for precisely this reason. The strongest case for human responsibility often lies in decisions that made avoidable devastation foreseeable (United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, 2025).

Additional disaster clusters from late 2025 through October 2026

In late October 2025, Hurricane Melissa struck Jamaica at Category 5 intensity and severely affected other Caribbean countries. The World Meteorological Organization documented its dangerous winds, and a subsequent UN-led assessment examined the immense social and economic damage. The storm demonstrates how a natural atmospheric phenomenon becomes a multigenerational economic crisis when infrastructure, homes, health facilities and agricultural

production are destroyed. It is not evidence that someone generated the hurricane using weather-modification equipment; the publicly available meteorological record explains its development through atmospheric and oceanic conditions (World Meteorological Organization, [2025a](#); United Nations in Jamaica, [2026](#)).

The destructive Texas flash floods of July 2025 likewise require more than dramatic imagery. The United States National Weather Service documented extreme rainfall and relevant atmospheric conditions. A proper public inquiry should examine early warnings, the siting of housing and camps, emergency access, drainage, communication and the responsibilities of officials. Where errors, negligence or ignored warnings can be demonstrated, human accountability is appropriate. Those findings must not be confused with proof that a person manufactured the rainfall itself (National Weather Service, [2025](#)).

On 26 August 2026, dangerous flooding in Nepal illustrated a particularly painful connection between reconstruction policy and repeat exposure to hazard. Associated Press reporting examined a settlement created for survivors of an earlier earthquake that was subsequently destroyed by flooding. The case supports a more consequential accusation than vague weather engineering: communities can be placed in foreseeable danger through choices about resettlement sites, land use and protective infrastructure. Harm can be man-made even when the water comes from a natural flood (Associated Press, [2026d](#)).

October 2026 also brought serious flooding in Mexico associated with Hurricane Simon and remnants of Tropical Storm Rachel. Associated Press reporting identified deaths, missing people and rescue operations. The accumulation of such incidents is real, but the correct comparative method distinguishes storm tracks and local conditions rather than inferring one clandestine operation from the timing of events. A genuine scandal, if shown, may lie in chronic underinvestment, deliberate disregard of warning systems or misuse of disaster funds (Associated Press, [2026b](#)).

The Pakistan monsoon floods that began on 26 June 2025 illustrate how a seasonal hazard can inflict massive losses when river systems, settlements and public infrastructure are under pressure. UNICEF documented 946 deaths by 12 September, including 255 children. The figures describe confirmed humanitarian harm, not proof of deliberate precipitation control. They also require serious examination of floodplain settlement, infrastructure standards, early warning systems and allocation of protective resources, because negligent decisions can multiply disaster risk (UNICEF, [2025](#)).

Mozambique experienced widespread floods from late December 2025 into early 2026. The International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies reported that the floods affected more than 650,000 people across seven provinces, destroying livelihoods, crops, housing and essential water systems. This is a case of compounded vulnerability in which intense rainfall interacts with infrastructure, poverty and the capacity of public institutions to protect exposed populations. Those interactions are not excuses for weak governance; they identify where human decisions may turn foreseeable hazards into avoidable suffering (International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, [2026](#)).

The eastern Afghanistan earthquake of 31 August 2025 killed approximately 2,200 people, according to the United Nations.

Its heavy impact was partly shaped by the fragility of rural housing, difficult terrain and limited access to emergency assistance. The appropriate charge against irresponsible policy is not that a tectonic fault was necessarily activated by conspirators, but that communities should not be left in buildings and settlements whose known vulnerabilities make mass death more likely when an earthquake occurs (United Nations Office at Geneva, [2025](#)).

6. What is genuinely known about environmental engineering?

The historical evidence must not be minimized. A [13 January 1967 U.S. State Department memorandum](#) documents plans for Project Popeye, an effort to use cloud seeding to increase rainfall over infiltration routes in North Vietnam and Laos in order to hinder transportation. The document describes an earlier experimental phase and discusses the uncertain effectiveness of extending rainy conditions into normally dry periods. The significance is clear: military authorities explored and pursued a covert environmental intervention for operational advantage. This is an authenticated historical example of intentional weather modification (U.S. Department of State, [1967a](#)).

Another [declassified State Department telegram dated 29 May 1967](#) describes Operation Commando Lava, involving chemicals intended to destabilize soil and create impassable mud along selected transport routes in Laos. The communication speaks explicitly about making routes unusable and even considers combining the method with Project Popeye. This record is especially relevant to claims that environmental conditions have been weaponized. Yet its specificity also shows how documented operations should be described: they involved particular chemicals, routes, wartime objectives, and bounded techniques, not arbitrary control over every physical catastrophe (U.S. Department of State, [1967b](#)).

International concern about environmental warfare culminated in the [1976 Convention on the Prohibition of Military or Any Other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques](#), commonly known as ENMOD. Its existence confirms that states recognized hostile environmental modification as a legitimate subject of international law. However, a prohibition is not a demonstration that every imaginable capability exists or that any specific contemporary disaster resulted from a prohibited operation. Operational capability, intent, opportunity, and event-specific evidence remain indispensable (United Nations, [1976](#)).

The [World Meteorological Organization's 2025 statement on weather modification](#) provides the indispensable scientific boundary. Local to regional cloud-seeding programmes seek to influence precipitation in suitable clouds, but the organization states that there is no generally accepted evidence that tropical cyclones can be modified and no demonstrated cloud-seeding methods for modifying tornadoes, floods, or comparable severe phenomena. The physics of large atmospheric systems cannot be inferred from the existence of limited cloud-seeding technologies. An allegation that a hurricane was 'created' must therefore supply independent meteorological and operational evidence, not merely invoke Project Popeye (World Meteorological Organization, [2025b](#)).

Human-induced seismicity is also real but specific. A [USGS review of injection-induced earthquakes](#) describes how wastewater injection, mining, reservoir impoundment, and

other activities can alter stresses or fluid pressures and trigger earthquakes in susceptible settings. This permits a scientifically legitimate inquiry into particular industrial or geological contexts. It does not allow a major tectonic earthquake to be attributed to a clandestine weapon solely because it was destructive or geopolitically convenient. The same standard applies to claims about high-frequency radio installations, secret aircraft emissions, or directed-energy weapons: mechanism, capability, measurable signatures, and reliable event-specific evidence must be demonstrated (U.S. Geological Survey, [2019](#)).

What declassified oversight reveals about covert manipulation of political movements

Historical secrecy is not an imaginary category. In the United States, the Senate Church Committee investigated intelligence operations after allegations of surveillance, political interference and abuse. The Senate's account describes the FBI's COINTELPRO as a covert effort to disrupt and discredit organizations and individuals judged threatening to the prevailing order, including civil-rights organizations and anti-war activists. Congressional inquiry examined documents and testimony and established that covert interference with domestic political organizing had actually occurred (U.S. Senate, [1976](#)).

The FBI's own institutional history acknowledges that agents sometimes infiltrated organizations, encouraged internal discord and attempted to discredit activists even when evidence of unlawful conduct was weak or absent. The significance for contemporary investigations is methodological and political: publicly visible demonstrations can be infiltrated or manipulated by covert actors, and the activity may not become known until archives, investigators or insiders reveal it. Therefore, it would be naive to assert that covert manipulation never happens. It would be equally mistaken to declare an undocumented current protest an intelligence operation solely because an older infiltration programme existed (Federal Bureau of Investigation, [n.d.](#)).

The same Senate investigation documented covert action in Chile between 1963 and 1973. The declassified committee report considered foreign political intervention, intelligence operations and the roles of corporate interests. This is direct evidence that powerful actors have sometimes tried to influence another country's political trajectory behind the scenes. An investigator may therefore reasonably examine foreign funding, communications, information operations and intelligence links when unrest occurs. The factual test remains event-specific: documented historical interference establishes a real technique and a legitimate investigative question, not proof that every modern protest uses that technique (U.S. Senate, [1975](#)).

7. Disease emergencies and the danger of confusing coordination with command

The 2026 Ebola outbreak in the Democratic Republic of the Congo and Uganda provides a serious example of how health emergencies occur within environments already damaged by insecurity and displacement. The [WHO's 21 May 2026 outbreak notice](#) reports the identification of Bundibugyo virus disease and the international public health emergency declaration. Its [8 October 2026 update](#) records 8,728 confirmed cases and 4,205 confirmed deaths in the DRC as of 6 October, alongside a reported imported case in Kenya. The figures are

disturbing and demonstrate the importance of healthcare capacity, contact tracing, responsible communication, and independent scrutiny (World Health Organization, [2026a](#); World Health Organization, [2026b](#)).

The timing of an outbreak alongside new international agreements can invite accusations that the disease was introduced to justify regulatory changes. Such an accusation requires epidemiological, laboratory, intelligence, and operational evidence beyond temporal proximity. At present, the cited WHO records do not prove deliberate creation or release. Conversely, they do establish that public health emergencies activate systems of cross-border cooperation and sometimes prompt domestic restrictions. Monitoring proportionality, privacy, transparency, the protection of patients, and the duration of exceptional measures is consequently justified even without claiming that the outbreak itself was manufactured.

The distinction is especially important for the [WHO Pandemic Agreement adopted in May 2025](#). The agreement aims to strengthen preparedness and cooperation, while its text expressly states that the WHO Secretariat receives no authority to impose national lockdowns, vaccine mandates, or specific domestic laws. The legal and constitutional risks must be examined through what states ratify, what domestic legislatures enact, and which enforcement powers actually exist. Criticism is strongest when it identifies a real legal ambiguity or accountability deficit; a claim contradicted by the document's express terms cannot support a credible warning (World Health Organization, [2025](#)).

8. Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030: what the original documents actually contain

The movement toward coordinated international development policy long predates the current crisis. [Agenda 21, adopted at the 1992 Rio Earth Summit](#), is a public, extensive action programme concerning land, water, settlements, resource use, development, education, agriculture, and cooperation among governments and other stakeholders. It illustrates an ambition to integrate many sectors of public policy under the language of sustainable development. That breadth legitimately invites debate about subsidiarity, national decision-making, property rights, administrative accountability, and the influence of multinational actors on local communities (United Nations, [1992](#)).

Yet the circulated claim that Agenda 21 is a secret order to manufacture storms, abolish all families, destroy national governments, and kill large portions of humanity is not supported by the actual text. A serious critique should compare alleged goals with specific chapters and paragraphs, distinguish binding law from recommended policy, and assess how a government has implemented particular proposals. 'Agenda 21' cannot function as an evidentiary shortcut for every unwanted development after 1992 (United Nations, [1992](#)).

The [United Nations' *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*](#), adopted in 2015, contains 17 Sustainable Development Goals and 169 targets. The text explicitly recognizes national sovereignty and calls for country-led, voluntary follow-up and review. The agenda is nevertheless influential because governments, development banks, international organizations, private foundations, businesses, and civil-society institutions can align financing, planning, measurement, and reporting with its objectives. The result is genuine transnational policy convergence, though not

a legally established single world government (United Nations, [2015](#)).

These distinctions are analytically decisive. Policy **influence** can be substantial even where an organization lacks sovereign command. Funding conditions, technical standards, shared indicators, procurement requirements, compliance expectations, and expert networks may shape national choices. In some cases, alignment supports public welfare; in others, it can weaken meaningful local consultation or place heavy obligations on communities. The appropriate investigation follows implementation mechanisms and the balance of power, rather than treating a shared slogan or target date as proof of an undisclosed centralized ruler.

9. The Pact for the Future, artificial intelligence, digital identity and money

In September 2024, UN member states adopted the [Pact for the Future](#), together with the Global Digital Compact and Declaration on Future Generations. The UN openly presents the package as an effort to update international institutions and improve global cooperation. The [Global Digital Compact](#) addresses digital inclusion, data governance, interoperability, online safety, and international discussions about artificial intelligence. These are real and consequential policy commitments. Their publication is evidence of organized institutional reform, not evidence that the organization covertly ordered riots or storms (United Nations, [2024b](#); United Nations, [2024a](#)).

The institutional calendar is relevant. The [UN's official AI-governance roadmap](#) records a dialogue in Geneva in July 2026 and a subsequent meeting in New York in May 2027. The [Global Digital Compact review arrangements](#) also anticipate intergovernmental discussions and a high-level review during the General Assembly's eighty-second session. Such meetings are legitimate subjects for oversight because they can shape later legislation, standards, partnerships, and financial arrangements. They are not evidence that 2027 is a secretly fixed date for the establishment of a world dictatorship (United Nations Office for Digital and Emerging Technologies, [2026](#)).

Digital identity makes the stakes more concrete. The [European Commission's European Digital Identity Wallet documentation](#) describes tools intended to authenticate users and enable the secure presentation of documents across public and private services. Well-designed identity systems can reduce fraud and improve access. Poorly governed systems can enable exclusion, improper data sharing, surveillance, or administrative decisions that people cannot meaningfully challenge. Consequently, the central questions involve legal alternatives, voluntary use, independent oversight, appeal rights, data minimization, access without smartphones, and technical separation among identity and service providers (European Commission, [n.d.](#)).

The financial dimension is similarly important. A [Bank for International Settlements survey published in August 2025](#) reported that 85 of 93 surveyed central banks were exploring retail or wholesale central bank digital currencies. Investigation, pilot testing, and implementation are not equivalent; moreover, wholesale systems for interbank settlement differ materially from retail instruments held by citizens. Nevertheless, digital financial systems warrant scrutiny of programmability, privacy, resilience, due process, access to cash, and the

power to freeze accounts or exclude lawful transactions (Bank for International Settlements, [2025](#)).

Health data add a further layer of sensitivity. In July 2025, the [U.S. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services announced commitments involving major technology companies](#), including Amazon, Anthropic, Apple, Google and OpenAI, toward a more interoperable digital health ecosystem. This is a documented initiative aimed at health-information accessibility and coordination. It is not, without additional evidence, a programme to compel universal medical surveillance. Yet the sheer sensitivity of health records means that promises of convenience must be matched by enforceable protections against data misuse, discrimination, commercial exploitation, and unauthorized secondary purposes (Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, [2025](#)).

The point of convergence is not that each programme has an evil origin. It is that identification, transaction processing, healthcare access, communication, and increasingly automated decisions may become technically interdependent. When such infrastructure is accompanied by weak oversight or political repression, it can become a means of coercion. This is why the [documented decline in internet freedom](#) is more relevant than unverified claims based on symbols or rumours. Infrastructure is dangerous when its design and governance make peaceful dissent, due process, and ordinary economic participation dependent on discretionary approval (Freedom House, [2025](#)).

The mechanism of power: technical integration can create practical dependence

The prospect of coercion lies less in one dramatic announcement than in cumulative interoperability. Separate identity wallets, databases, bank accounts, medical systems and algorithmic eligibility assessments can become tightly linked even when each was originally developed for a useful administrative purpose. A person excluded from one system may then find access to several others compromised. The critical evidence is not symbolism but architecture: mandatory identity checks, the absence of lawful alternatives, cross-database permissions, automated sanctions and barriers to appeal. If these features become entrenched, concentration of control will have an identifiable technical and legal structure (European Commission, [n.d.](#); Freedom House, [2025](#)).

The documentary record already shows that these infrastructures are planned and coordinated through explicit institutional processes. The EU identity wallet project, the BIS survey of central bank digital-currency exploration, the UN Global Digital Compact and the CMS digital health initiative represent distinct policy domains with overlapping concerns about data, interoperability and trust. Their convergence deserves sustained scrutiny. No document among them proves a secret joint plan to deny people food or medical treatment, but the potential costs of weak safeguards are large enough to require enforceable limits before systems become indispensable (Bank for International Settlements, [2025](#); United Nations, [2024a](#); Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, [2025](#)).

10. The Great Reset: crisis as an explicitly announced opportunity

The term *Great Reset* did not originate in obscure online forums. The [World Economic Forum publicly advanced the](#)

[initiative in 2020](#), describing the COVID-19 pandemic as an opportunity to reconsider economic and social arrangements. Its proposals involved stakeholder capitalism, sustainability, and economic reform. This offers direct documentary evidence of a powerful institution arguing that a major emergency should be used to accelerate structural change (Schwab, 2020).

That documented proposition must be distinguished from a different, more serious allegation: that the institution deliberately caused the emergency in order to introduce the reforms. The first is supported by the institution's public statements. The second requires independent evidence of culpable planning and action. Neither the word *reset* nor the fact that reforms followed an emergency proves the emergency was manufactured. A government or advocacy network may recognize political opportunities in a genuine disaster without causing it, just as an actor may deliberately intensify a crisis to obtain advantage in a particular context.

A stronger explanatory framework is **crisis-driven policy acceleration**. Under acute pressure, societies sometimes accept decisions that would receive prolonged scrutiny under ordinary conditions. Emergency powers, surveillance rules, procurement processes, border measures, fiscal programmes, military budgets, and development plans may be adopted with unusual speed. Some changes are proportionate and necessary; others may be excessive, poorly supervised, or retained after the emergency has passed. The decisive evidence is found in the power granted, its duration, the availability of judicial review, transparency of spending, distribution of benefits, and the possibility of effective public opposition.

The political use of crises is thus a real topic of investigation, and in documented cases it can be deliberate. Yet it must not be reduced to a claim that all international cooperation is inherently sinister. International responses to communicable disease, disaster warning, aviation safety, and conflicts can protect human life. The question is whether cooperation remains accountable and constrained or evolves into coercive arrangements that citizens cannot challenge. An intellectually rigorous Christian critique can recognize genuine public benefits while exposing specific abuses, conflicts of interest, or theological incompatibilities.

11. Historical ideological texts: genuine documents, uncertain causal influence

Alice A. Bailey and the projected new world religion

The writings of Alice A. Bailey deserve close reading because their original texts are available and represent an openly esoteric interpretation of human history and religion. In [The Reappearance of the Christ](#), first published in 1948, Bailey describes a reappearance of the Christ through an occult and universalizing conceptual framework. Her chapter entitled [The New World Religion](#) explicitly anticipates a religious synthesis in which inherited traditions are reinterpreted through a claimed spiritual hierarchy and continuing revelation. The text's significance is direct and theological: it presents a conception of Christ and religious truth at variance with the apostolic gospel (Bailey, 1948).

In [Problems of Humanity](#), Bailey also discusses economic relations, international unity, the churches, and the organization of public opinion. Her [chapter on international unity](#) supports the United Nations and argues for international

cooperation. The existence of such writings establishes that visions of global political cooperation and religious transformation circulated in influential esoteric settings decades before Agenda 2030. It does **not** establish that UN member states adopted Bailey's theology, that Lucis Trust controls the United Nations, or that her books are authenticated operational instructions for the crises of 2025–2026 (Bailey, 1964).

From a Sola Scriptura perspective, the theological disagreement is sufficient grounds for a clear warning. [John 14:6](#) and [Acts 4:12](#) affirm the exclusivity of Christ in salvation, while [Galatians 1:6–9](#) warns against a different gospel. Bailey's religious synthesis can be rejected on biblical grounds without adding an unproven claim that she commands contemporary political institutions. Careful discrimination between doctrinal criticism and factual accusation strengthens both.

The historical Illuminati and the alleged list of twenty-one goals

The Bavarian Illuminati, founded by Adam Weishaupt in 1776, was a real secret society concerned with intellectual and political influence. Historical study of such movements is legitimate, including their aspirations, membership, methods, and interactions with political authority. However, the popular internet lists of 'twenty-one Illuminati goals' are commonly reproduced without a verifiable original document, identified issuing authority, authenticated manuscript, or reliable archival chain of custody. A modern list that cannot be traced to an authentic source cannot become a historical prediction merely because some of its broad claims resemble present developments.

The same methodological problem appears whenever texts retrospectively assign precise modern events to older authors without establishing what those authors wrote, when they wrote it, and how their words were understood in context. Reliable source criticism asks whether a passage existed before the event, whether it is quoted correctly, whether it predicts a distinctive occurrence rather than a generic social pattern, and whether any concrete operational link can be shown. The mere recurrence of concepts such as *world order*, *crisis*, *unity*, or *economic control* is not sufficient.

The Protocols of the Elders of Zion: a forged text cannot serve as proof

The Protocols of the Elders of Zion is frequently circulated as if it were an authenticated programme for world domination. The [United States Holocaust Memorial Museum's detailed account](#) demonstrates that it is an antisemitic forgery first published in the Russian Empire in 1903. Its content was in part plagiarized from Maurice Joly's nineteenth-century political satire, which was not about Jews. The supposed secret meetings did not take place. Presenting this fabrication as a real Jewish operational plan would repeat a false accusation with a long history of encouraging persecution (United States Holocaust Memorial Museum, [n.d.](#)).

This is not a minor technicality. An investigative article loses its authority when it treats a known forgery as a reliable primary source. Criticism of bankers, politicians, multinational corporations, foreign-policy decisions, particular religious teachings, or corrupt institutions can be rigorous and uncompromising without attributing collective guilt to an ethnic or religious community. The Christian commitment to truth requires refusing demonstrably false testimony, even when the document's story appears rhetorically useful.

The comparison among these sources is revealing. Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030 are authentic public policy documents; their practical influence can be studied. Bailey's books are authentic esoteric texts; their theology can be tested against Scripture. The Bavarian Illuminati was a genuine historical organization; claims concerning its supposed modern continuity need evidence. The alleged twenty-one-goals lists lack authenticated provenance, while the *Protocols* is a proven forgery. Putting these materials in one undifferentiated category of 'predicted plans' would conceal rather than expose the truth (United Nations, 1992; Bailey, 1948).

RETROSPECTIVE INTEGRATION: WARNINGS RECORDED BEFORE THE CRISES

The chronology developed above becomes more historically consequential when read alongside the author's own dated writings published before the crises under investigation. The earliest texts in this recovered record precede mid-2025 by more than a year. The purpose of examining them is not to award prophetic certainty retrospectively, but to establish what concerns were actually expressed, which terms and time horizons were used, and how those concerns can be compared with later, independently documented events. Treating an earlier publication as an authenticated record of what was argued is legitimate; treating the same publication as independent proof that its explanation of subsequent events was correct would be circular. The difference is especially important where the original work included questions, possibilities, evocative imagery, and accusations of concealed intent (Sangwa, 2024a; Sangwa, 2024b).

The retrieved corpus is considerably wider than a series of isolated warnings. A source inventory compiled in September 2026 mapped more than one hundred of the author's publicly indexed works across biblical prophecy, the new world order, political networks, climate intervention, financial infrastructure, global digital coordination, religious synthesis, and Christian readiness. Some were published as blog articles, others as journal papers or books. They should not all be treated as equivalent evidence: a biblical exposition establishes the author's theology, an original institutional document establishes a policy commitment, a study may advance an empirically testable hypothesis, and a speculative article may record an allegation requiring further investigation. This retrospective reconstructs those streams without silently converting one kind of material into another (Sangwa, 2025b; Sangwa, 2026b).

The March–October 2024 warnings: what was anticipated before 2025

On 25 March 2024, the author published 'Could 2025 Herald Unforeseen Challenges?' Its deliberately urgent and poetic warning associated 2025 with floods, droughts, infrastructure failure, food insecurity, disease, supposed weather warfare, and an intensifying spiritual conflict. The article was therefore not written in reaction to the specific flood and conflict footage circulating in October 2026. It is a dated statement of prior concern. Yet the earlier article presented claims concerning a hidden assembly and planned environmental attacks without authenticated operational documents connecting named perpetrators to future events. The correct retrospective finding is that the categories of risk were anticipated, not that the occurrence of later disasters proves the earlier causal theory. Flooding and shortages became visible in the

intervening period, but their precise causes must still be established event by event (Sangwa, 2024a).

A further article dated 30 September 2024, 'Could 2025 Usher in Spiritual Turmoil Heralding the Antichrist's Rise?', explicitly connected the approaching year with New Age expectations, political crises, environmental events, surveillance technologies, and speculation concerning a pre-2027 transition or a 2030 horizon. This document is particularly useful for reconstructing the author's expectations before subsequent events occurred. Its distinctive argument was not merely that ordinary geopolitical risk was rising, but that an emerging technological and spiritual order could condition populations to accept a counterfeit provider of security. The claim is a theological and political hypothesis, not a verified timetable. Read in October 2026, it establishes continuity in the author's concern, while also showing why specific prophetic dates require greater caution than broader warnings about expanding authority (Sangwa, 2024b; Sangwa, 2026h).

Other 2024 essays widened the frame beyond 2025. In the treatment of the United Nations Pact for the Future, the author considered whether intensified international cooperation might furnish some administrative conditions of a future coercive order. The December 2024 discussion of Agenda 2030 then asked whether proposed solutions to hunger, ecological vulnerability and inequality could increase dependency on external institutions, particularly through finance, identity and reporting systems. The fact that these were published before the late-2025 demonstrations gives their institutional concerns genuine chronological priority. Yet the policy texts themselves remain public, not operational instructions to create violence. The stronger continuity lies in the questions asked about who designs infrastructure, who sets conditions and whether citizens retain an effective right to dissent (Sangwa, 2024e; Sangwa, 2024f).

Taken together, the 2024 writings anticipated three categories of observable development: destabilizing shocks, accelerating governance proposals, and heightened theological concern over allegiance. The first became visible in demonstrable wars, unrest and disaster emergencies. The second is observable in published international agreements, digital-identity initiatives, monetary experimentation and AI governance meetings discussed earlier in this article. The third remains a matter for disciplined scriptural interpretation. This is a substantive alignment between earlier concerns and later categories of events, but categories are not distinctive, event-specific predictions. A stronger evidentiary claim would require dated forecasts naming the event, mechanism, location and responsible organization with specificity and would need comparison against events that did not occur as expected (Sangwa, 2024b; Sangwa, 2024f).

Weather warfare and geoengineering: what the earlier articles argued and what survives verification

The author's October 2024 article 'Who Really Controls the Weather and Climate?' opened from the conviction that God's sovereignty over creation does not exclude limited human interventions within creation. That theological claim and the technical question of weather modification should not be confused. Cloud-seeding programmes and historical military weather modification provide documentary grounds for taking human intervention seriously. The article also explored the possibility that powerful actors might use advanced technologies secretly for strategic benefit, a hypothesis whose

implications could be grave. But the observed presence of unusual clouds, extreme rainfall, or government interest in atmospheric research cannot identify a particular perpetrator. The present synthesis should therefore read the early article as a call to investigate possible mechanisms and records, not as an established attribution of individual catastrophes (Sangwa, [2024i](#)) (World Meteorological Organization, [2025](#)).

In 'Evidence of Elite Manipulation Through Geoengineering and Energy Weapons?', published on 2 October 2024, the author linked HAARP, directed-energy technologies, wildfire narratives, hurricanes and the problem of attributing disasters to climate change. There are several distinct scientific categories here. Directed-energy weapons exist for defined military applications, solar-radiation modification remains an area of research and contested governance, cloud seeding is an operational form of limited atmospheric intervention, and the ionospheric research undertaken by HAARP is not a demonstrated means of steering hurricanes or controlling lower-atmosphere weather. HAARP's own technical explanation states that its radio transmissions do not interact significantly with the troposphere and stratosphere where ordinary weather occurs. Historical covert weather modification remains relevant, but it cannot erase the necessity of verifying contemporary operational capability (Sangwa, [2024d](#)) (High-frequency Active Auroral Research Program, [n.d.](#)) (World Meteorological Organization, [2025](#)).

The value of these earlier pieces is greater when their claims are separated into different levels. The declassified Vietnam-era programmes already discussed in the base article establish hostile environmental interventions with identifiable objectives. Contemporary cloud seeding establishes a narrower range of techniques and constraints. The existence of military lasers and other directed-energy systems establishes an additional technological capability, but not an event-specific finding that an urban wildfire, earthquake or cyclone was produced by such a system. Allegations concerning 'chemtrails' or vast climate-control facilities require independently verifiable aircraft, chemical, meteorological, engineering and attribution data. Without those observations, the powerful moral condemnation of secretly engineered harm can stand as a conditional warning, not a forensic conclusion (Sangwa, [2024d](#); Sangwa, [2024i](#)).

A more comprehensive continuation is found in the author's 2026 book 'Signs in the Sky and Systems Beneath: Environmental Modification, Hidden Power, and Christian Discernment'. According to the publisher catalogue and prior corpus record, the book takes a broader view of rainfall intervention, energy systems, induced seismicity, environmental-policy governance and technologically mediated social control. Its distinctive contribution to the present article is the insistence that weather technologies and administrative systems be considered together without assuming that technological possibility equals proof of covert use. The proper research programme would compare hazard timing, verified intervention programmes, measured physical signatures, disaster exposure, and the legal changes that follow emergencies. The pursuit of those data is more likely to reveal wrongdoing than as-signing every disaster to a supposed invisible switch (Sangwa, [2026e](#)).

The author's 2025 paper carrying the title 'Statistical Evidence of Covert Weather Warfare in the 2025 Global Disaster Surge' advanced a stronger empirical claim than the preceding essays. Its abstract reports a multi-source event

compilation and a comparison of time windows before and after an asserted May 2025 breakpoint. This title and declared research design should be represented faithfully, but an extraordinary inference of clandestine intervention requires independent reproduction of the underlying observations, deduplication rules, event definitions, sampling denominators, statistical model, effect sizes and sensitivity analyses. It also requires distinguishing a weather-event trend from attribution to a deliberately acting organization. A change-point in disaster records, even if statistically robust, cannot identify hidden perpetrators by itself. For the present investigation, the paper provides a testable hypothesis and a prior research contribution, not independently verified proof that 2025 cyclones or earthquakes were deliberately engineered (Sangwa, [2025c](#)).

These distinctions have an important consequence for the Church's warning. Environmental destruction can indeed be worsened by morally culpable decisions, including foreseeable failures of protection, deforestation, unsafe settlements, diversion of public funds, and deliberate violence against ecosystems or infrastructure. Those are not rhetorical substitutes for accountability; they are concrete places to seek engineering files, audits, court decisions, and orders. When populations are harmed through negligence or corruption, a truthful Christian response should expose the accountable conduct directly rather than shift attention toward an unverified atmospheric weapon. Defending creation, defending vulnerable families, and resisting coercive exploitation of emergencies are morally urgent even when the meteorological event itself has a natural explanation (Sangwa, [2024i](#); Sangwa, [2026e](#)).

Biological weapons, Marburg and the emergency state: serious danger without invented causation

On 3 October 2024 the author published 'Could Marburg Virus Be a Potential Bioweapon and Part of a Larger Agenda?' The work gathered fears about weaponized pathogens, public-health emergency powers, media narratives and global control. It also relayed claims concerning 5G activation of biological agents and alleged viral material in vaccines. This latter material was presented in the rhetoric of alternative commentators; the sources reviewed for this retrospective do not establish those mechanisms as scientific facts. Radio-frequency communications do not become evidence of engineered infection merely because a viral outbreak and modern communications networks coexist. A responsible revision must preserve the earlier questions and their publication context without repeating the unsubstantiated claims as medical conclusions (Sangwa, [2024c](#)).

Biological warfare is nonetheless a documented human danger. The Biological Weapons Convention was concluded in 1972 because states recognized the possibility and consequences of deliberately disseminating pathogenic agents or toxins. Its text prohibits the development, production, acquisition and stockpiling of biological and toxin weapons in the circumstances it specifies. In 2001, anthrax-bearing letters in the United States produced twenty-two identified cases and five deaths in an intentionally caused bioterrorism episode investigated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. These records establish that bioterrorism is neither myth nor merely a metaphor of biblical pestilence. The same evidence also shows what proof looks like: identifiable exposures, laboratory analysis, epidemiological investigation, and evidence of intentional delivery (United Nations, [1972](#)) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, [2002](#)).

The theological warning is therefore sharpened, not softened, by careful attribution. Scientific safeguards against malicious pathogen use deserve support, emergency measures deserve independent scrutiny, and any wrongful experimentation or intentional release deserves investigation and prosecution. However, an outbreak's occurrence is not proof that a treaty-making body or technology firm caused it. Equally, public-health policies may infringe rights even when the infectious threat is genuine. The proper analytical questions concern necessity, time limits, informed consent, transparency, proportionality and access to remedies. These are the mechanisms through which Christians and other citizens can resist abuse while protecting the vulnerable and refraining from unfounded allegations against patients, scientists or medical workers (Sangwa, [2024c](#)) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, [2002](#)).

This matters for interpreting the current article's discussion of the 2026 Ebola emergency. The author's earlier Marburg writing makes the later concern intelligible as part of a longer line of questioning about biological risk and the scope of emergency authority. Yet no authenticated epidemiological or operational record cited here connects that particular outbreak to a deliberate release. A strong retrospective account should therefore say plainly that the author warned about possible weaponization in 2024; documented bioweapons use exists historically; the 2026 outbreak is real; and the alleged line of command from a secret society to the contemporary pathogen has not been established. The first three observations justify vigilance. They do not turn the fourth into an established fact (Sangwa, [2024c](#)).

World War III, Israel and Iran: the development of a warning and a methodological reassessment

In October 2024 the author circulated a treatment of the alleged fourteen stages of World War III, interpreting staged escalation scenarios through geopolitical reporting and biblical passages about Israel, conflict and end-time deception. The material became a recurring reference point in later essays about Hamas, Iran, international alliances, false peace and a possible global political restructuring after war. Its value as a historical document lies in showing a clearly articulated pre-2025 apprehension that a regional rupture could have cascading international consequences. Its principal evidentiary weakness is the uncertain provenance of the fourteen-stage programme itself. A list of phases attributed to the Illuminati cannot be assumed to represent an authenticated command plan merely because geopolitical conflict later intensifies (Sangwa, [2024h](#); Sangwa, [2024g](#)).

The June 2025 essay, 'From Warning to Fulfillment: Is the Beast Emerging from the Sea of Chaos?', was published during the Israel-Iran confrontation. It connected the actual escalation to earlier concerns about regional war, emergency governance, digital infrastructure and a counterfeit messianic solution. This retrospective is particularly important because it demonstrates a real chronological sequence: the author's earlier warning, a subsequent major regional confrontation, and a contemporaneous interpretive response. Still, any claim that warfare was planned by secret societies requires operational proof of such planning. The military attacks and retaliation were real and deliberately authorized, and the global economic implications of conflict are serious. A war can illustrate the consequences of earlier warnings without validating every hidden-causation claim attached to them (Sangwa, [2025a](#); Sangwa, [2024h](#)).

In January 2026, the author's peer-reviewed article 'The Stages of World War III as Narrative Policy' reconsidered the fourteen-stage material through computational and conceptual methods. Its abstract explicitly treats the earlier staged-war narrative as a source text for studying how conspiracy discourse and prophetic interpretation interact, including the danger of converting contingent geopolitical developments into apparently inevitable scripts. This intellectual development should be welcomed rather than concealed. It indicates that an author can examine whether a dramatic narrative is being used to influence perception without declaring the narrative itself to be the proven secret plan. The resulting analytical framework is particularly useful for the present article because viral video editing can compress distinct wars and riots into the appearance of one centrally timed global operation (Sangwa, [2026h](#); Sangwa, [2024h](#)).

The more defensible connection between warfare and the path toward 2030 is the transmission of intentional political decisions through energy supply, shipping, insurance, sovereign budgets, food prices, migration and public attitudes toward security. A single military confrontation may affect transportation and inflation well beyond the states directly involved. Subsequent protest movements may be strengthened by genuine economic pressures while competing organizers seek influence within them. Governments may then accelerate security measures. This is not a narrative of powerless citizens manipulated in every action; it is an account of interacting choices with real causal pathways. The Church's responsibility is to hold states and armed actors accountable for actual conduct and to resist false claims of peace that require compromising fidelity to Christ (Sangwa, [2025a](#); Sangwa, [2026h](#)).

From the New World Order to the machinery of conditional participation

The author's December 2024 investigation of Agenda 2030 asked a distinctive theological question: whether secular promises of comprehensive development might become functionally messianic when political institutions are presented as capable of securing humanity's ultimate destiny. It also raised worries about personal data, central-bank arrangements, national policy autonomy and the resulting leverage of international organizations. This strand requires less speculation than the claim of concealed coordination of natural disasters because relevant policies, technical architectures and financial relationships are visible in public documents. The appropriate criticism is not that addressing poverty or protecting the environment is inherently evil. It is that no institution should obtain unlimited authority by presenting itself as humanity's indispensable saviour (Sangwa, [2024f](#)).

A 2025 journal article, 'Secret Societies, Central Banking, Digital Surveillance and the Great Reset', elaborated the author's concept of the 'serpentine strategy'. The article argues that financial governance, ideological change and surveillance technology can reinforce one another over long periods, potentially generating conditions analogous to economic coercion in Revelation 13. Its larger historical claims regarding uninterrupted secret-society continuity remain interpretations requiring corroboration, while its attention to the measurable growth of interoperable financial and identity technologies provides a practical agenda for investigation. Public consultation records, bank surveys, statutory powers, procurement contracts and detailed system specifications are more informative than assertions that every international institution follows a hidden esoteric manual (Sangwa, [2025b](#)).

The co-authored 'Lucifer's Operating System' presented a stronger theological claim: that some modern policy language and governance systems may carry values of autonomy and power that conflict with Scripture's conception of human dependence on God. The paper's proposed spiritual diagnosis should be presented as Christian critical theology, rather than as a material finding that policy officials are secretly possessed by, or formally pledged to, a supernatural hierarchy. This distinction matters because theological discernment examines the moral character of teachings and power structures, while forensic accusation concerns the demonstrable conduct of named persons. Both forms of scrutiny can be strong when they remain clear about the kind of evidence on which they rest (Sangwa & Mutabazi, [2025](#)).

In June and July 2026, the author's later essays on digital public infrastructure and the final push toward Agenda 2030 brought these concerns into sharper technical focus. The danger was no longer described only as a generic 'one-world government' but as potentially conditional access distributed across identity credentials, payment systems, data exchange, administrative eligibility decisions and institutional monitoring. The causal inquiry becomes whether people can appeal erroneous decisions, keep private financial lives, access essential services without a single digital credential, and resist coercion based on lawful political or religious convictions. The development of these systems is documented, while their ultimate abuse must be demonstrated through design choices and actual policy (Sangwa, [2026a](#); Sangwa, [2026f](#)).

This trajectory is stronger than a claim that all nations must formally surrender sovereignty by 2030. Influence can expand through public-private partnerships, standards-setting, loan conditions, interoperability requirements and platforms upon which everyday transactions depend. Sovereignty may remain legally intact even as practical choices narrow for a poor state or vulnerable household. Conversely, sound constitutional safeguards and real alternatives can limit abuse. The meaningful warning is that political and economic dependency can be established cumulatively without a dramatic announcement of a new empire, making vigilance necessary at the point where technical systems and legal rights are designed (Sangwa, [2026a](#); Sangwa, [2025b](#)).

Alice Bailey, religious synthesis and the counterfeit promise of planetary salvation

The earlier 2024 Bailey article and the 2025 'Great General Assembly' study form a recognizable theological sequence. The author identified the 2025 expectation of a spiritual hierarchy and emerging world teacher within Bailey's esoteric writings and examined the proposed transformation of religious consciousness. The 2025 journal paper compared that spiritual vocabulary with global coordination, religious convergence and technologies capable of extensive administration. The source documents establish that Bailey-associated esoteric writers advanced such ideas, but they do not establish that a supernatural assembly convened on a named day or commanded contemporary governments. Where an original article speculated about a meeting on 12 May 2025, the responsible retrospective must record this as a prior speculative expectation, not a verified meeting or a proven initiating event (Sangwa, [2024j](#); Sangwa, [2025d](#)) (Lucis Trust, [n.d.](#)).

The most serious issue in Bailey's writings is theological and does not depend on an alleged calendar of invisible gatherings. Her understanding of the reappearance of Christ and a

new world religion differs from the biblical gospel concerning the historical Jesus Christ, redemption through Him and the exclusive significance of His person and work. The danger for Christian churches is that religious language of universal redemption may obscure incompatible accounts of who Jesus is and what salvation requires. The author has explored these differences in the book 'The Coming World Religion', a theological and historical examination of religious synthesis, political power, future false worship and Babylon. Such a critique must engage real teachings and practice, rather than transfer unverified occult intentions to everyone who participates in diplomatic cooperation (Sangwa, [2025d](#); Sangwa, [2026g](#)).

The biblical distinction is decisive. The economic exclusion depicted in [Revelation 13:16-18](#) is inseparable from the beast's coercive demand for allegiance and worship. The spiritual corruption described in [Revelation 17-18](#) is not reducible to a UN declaration or a bank technology. [2 Thessalonians 2:1-12](#) warns of lawlessness and deception while also correcting confusion about timing. A pretribulational reading may see present developments as possible preparations for a future coercive order, but Scripture does not authorize declaring that a 2025 esoteric assembly has been independently verified or that the Antichrist must assume power by 2027. The theological warning can therefore be uncompromising without promoting an extra-biblical deadline (Sangwa, [2026g](#); Sangwa, [2025d](#)).

An honest retrospective: what appeared, what changed, and what has not been shown

When the earlier warnings are measured against the June 2025–October 2026 documentary record, some striking continuities emerge. The author emphasized the possibility of regional war affecting worldwide stability before the Israel-Iran confrontation. He questioned conditional access and digital financial infrastructures before subsequent public expansion of governance discussions. He highlighted severe climate-related disruption before the later flood, wildfire and cyclone emergencies. These comparisons establish that the themes were not invented after the fact. They also require careful limits: wars and extreme weather have recurring historical precedents, their occurrence was forecast by many independent institutions, and an earlier suspicion of secret perpetrators is not verified by an event falling within the broadly predicted category (Sangwa, [2024a](#); Sangwa, [2024b](#); Sangwa, [2025a](#)).

The retrospective also identifies points where caution and revision strengthen the author's public testimony. The original fourteen-stage world-war narrative was subsequently examined critically by the author in a formal 2026 analysis. More recent scholarship on eschatological anxiety and digital information environments distinguishes genuine institutional secrecy from the false certainty created by repetitive algorithmic imagery. Similarly, weather-modification programmes require assessments of atmospheric limits, and biological-weapons allegations require a scientifically defensible transmission mechanism. These developments are not retreats from Christian conviction. They are examples of the biblical discipline of testing claims so that serious warnings cannot be discredited by a preventable factual error (Sangwa, [2026h](#); Sangwa, [2026c](#); Sangwa, [2026b](#)).

The material still unproven includes the claim that a specific global secret command engineered every natural disaster, that radiofrequency systems can activate infectious agents in

vaccinated people, that the alleged Illuminati stages are an authenticated battle plan, and that a covert Bailey-related assembly caused the subsequent wars. No direct documentary chain in the recovered publications or official evidence examined here establishes those specific propositions. This limitation should not be mistaken for confidence that organized wrongdoing never occurs. Declassified covert operations, actual bioterrorism, deliberate warfare, corruption, repression and political influence campaigns demonstrate the opposite. They show precisely why investigations should seek identifiable participants, technical capability, original instructions, independent witnesses and legal consequences rather than depend on resemblance alone (Sangwa, [2024d](#); Sangwa, [2024c](#); Sangwa, [2026h](#)).

The resulting thesis is forceful: substantial portions of suffering are intentionally caused or avoidably intensified by human power, and many crises provide opportunities for organizations to enlarge their influence. The moral responsibility is to expose those actions wherever a defensible causal chain exists. A church that ignores the surveillance of dissidents, the abuse of emergency authority, the commodification of the vulnerable, or the deliberate destruction of civilian infrastructure is not sufficiently awake. Neither is a church that circulates false claims as if they were revelation. The coming years should be judged by what political systems actually permit, require, finance, conceal and punish. Such examination can be both an uncompromising warning and a truthful Christian witness (Sangwa, [2025b](#); Sangwa, [2026b](#)).

12. Is there orchestration? Four mechanisms that should not be conflated

The term *orchestration* can refer to several distinct phenomena. The first is **direct coordination**, where identifiable actors agree on a plan and carry out assigned tasks. The second is **organized amplification**, where existing events are promoted by networks with overlapping political or commercial interests. The third is **opportunistic exploitation**, where an actor uses a crisis it did not cause to justify new powers or accelerate reforms. The fourth is **structural convergence**, where organizations independently arrive at similar policies because they face shared incentives or use similar technical standards. Each may be important, but the evidence required to establish each one differs (U.S. Department of State, [1967a](#); Nomani, [2026](#)).

Some cases discussed here establish direct intentional action. Project Popeye and Commando Lava were documented military experiments, while armed attacks and certain forms of state repression involve identifiable decisions to use force. The Philippine flood-control corruption investigations concern allegations of concrete financial and engineering misconduct, though each person's liability remains subject to legal process. The French political networks establish visible organization and advocacy across borders, but they do not prove centralized control of every riot. Global agreements establish coordinated policymaking among states, but their existence is not evidence that their signatories secretly triggered earthquakes (Schwab, [2020](#); United Nations, [2024b](#)).

Other patterns involve exploitation or convergence. A state may tighten public-order laws after disturbances whether or not it caused them. A company may benefit financially from emergency procurement without having created the emergency. Countries may adopt compatible identity systems because interoperability is technically useful, not because a

hidden actor ordered them to do so. These explanations can operate simultaneously. Identifying their relative importance requires evidence of timing, communication, financing, decision records, procurement beneficiaries, technical design, and post-crisis changes in authority.

For allegations about environmental engineering, the causal test is even stricter. An investigator must identify the mechanism, show that a capable actor operated it in the relevant place and time, detect physical or operational signatures consistent with its use, and exclude reasonable natural or industrial alternatives. For allegations about planned international government, the test involves actual constitutional arrangements, binding agreements, enforcement structures, legislation, and political accountability. Any claim that all crises serve a common concealed objective must additionally demonstrate connections among the actors across the separate cases. Mere coincidence of timing or resemblance to an old text is insufficient.

This framework should not discourage investigation. It indicates exactly where courageous investigation can make genuine discoveries. Public records requests, audited financial trails, declassified archives, satellite data, legal texts, laboratory studies, whistleblower testimony that can be independently corroborated, and original video geolocation can illuminate abuses. The more consequential the allegation, the more important it becomes to establish an auditable chain of evidence.

A decisive finding: which acts are actually orchestrated?

An investigation need not remain agnostic about documented acts. Project Popeye and Commando Lava were covert military interventions conceived and executed through official chains of command. States intentionally conduct wars; security services sometimes engage in unlawful repression; corrupt actors can divert the resources meant to prevent mass suffering. Political organizations demonstrably plan rallies, campaigns and transnational messaging. International policy bodies demonstrably negotiate governance standards. Calling these practices orchestrated is justified where the record establishes planning, agency and execution. The evidence is strongest when the verbs are specific: funded, ordered, contracted, attacked, suppressed, lobbied, negotiated or implemented (U.S. Department of State, [1967a](#); U.S. Department of State, [1967b](#); Amnesty International, [2025](#)).

The pattern becomes more serious when these operations intersect. Military conflict can increase energy costs; austerity and inflation can intensify protests; organized political networks may amplify the resulting discontent; police actions can provoke further violence; and governments may introduce restrictions that outlast the original disturbance. Corporations and international agencies may then offer technologies, investment or institutional reforms as solutions. These are observable causal pathways. But a connected system is not automatically a single secret organization. A claim that the same hidden actor ordered every step would require common financial accounts, communications, operational instructions or authenticated testimony that has not been produced for the world-spanning allegation (Kilian et al., [2026](#); Nomani, [2026](#)).

The side this article takes is clear: the risk of deliberately produced suffering and crisis-enabled concentration of power is established, and citizens must challenge it. Those who wield coercive power should bear the burden of transparency and

accountability. At the same time, unverified accusations about engineered cyclones, clandestine control of every protest or an authenticated Illuminati timetable do not advance the investigation. They redirect scrutiny away from actors whose conduct can be evidenced and contested (Freedom House, [2026](#); World Meteorological Organization, [2025b](#)).

13. Why the years 2027–2030 merit attention without date-setting

The 2027–2030 period is not arbitrary. The 2030 Agenda has an established international target date, and the United Nations has a documented timetable for reviewing the Global Digital Compact and continuing international AI-governance dialogue. The [UN AI Dialogue confirms that its next meeting is scheduled for 3–4 May 2027](#), while the Global Digital Compact review is linked to the General Assembly's eighty-second session. Such milestones create opportunities to examine new reports, proposals, national implementing legislation, financial commitments, and governance frameworks as they emerge (United Nations, [2015](#); United Nations Office for Digital and Emerging Technologies, [2026](#)).

It is also reasonable to monitor the practical implementation of electronic identification, central bank digital currencies, AI-enabled administrative decisions, digital public infrastructure, and health data interoperability. The appropriate indicators are concrete: whether people retain offline alternatives; whether private information is minimized and protected; whether authorities can suspend lawful transactions without due process; whether public officials are accountable for automated decisions; whether dissenting religious or political viewpoints face discrimination; and whether emergency powers are renewed without independent review. These indicators concern observable rights and institutions rather than sensational predictions.

However, a period of important policy review is not the same as a scheduled abolition of national sovereignty. Nor has an authenticated document established that all present disasters were planned to culminate in 2027 or that the biblical Antichrist will be installed in 2030. Claims about a predetermined date must be assessed against the actual legal documents and against the biblical prohibition of unwarranted certainty. A responsible warning can say that 2027–2030 should be examined with particular care, because consequential decisions are expected, without describing those years as an established prophetic countdown.

The strongest approach is continuous rather than episodic. After every major proposal, readers should ask whether commitments are voluntary or binding, whether national parliaments must ratify them, whether courts can review implementation, who funds the infrastructure, how long the measures remain effective, and what mechanisms exist for affected people to obtain remedies. If coercive integration is occurring, it should be demonstrated through changes in law and practice. If no such changes occur, the analysis must be willing to say so.

14. The biblical horizon: Antichrist, Babylon and the character of deception

Christian eschatology adds an important layer of meaning to the political and technological developments already considered. In a futurist, premillennial reading, [Daniel 7:23–27](#) anticipates an oppressive political power ultimately judged by

God. [2 Thessalonians 2:1–12](#) describes the man of lawlessness, his deception, and the rebellion accompanying his revelation. These texts direct attention toward counterfeit authority, hostility to God, and spiritual deception, rather than merely toward the appearance of international meetings or new technologies.

[Revelation 13:11–18](#) depicts coercive worship and restrictions on buying and selling in association with the beast's mark. The economic dimension is unmistakable and justifies theological attention to systems able to exclude people from lawful commerce. Yet the mark is presented in connection with allegiance and worship. Scripture does not identify a specific currently available digital wallet, identity number, biometric credential, or medical record as that mark. The possibility that such infrastructure could be abused is a prudential concern; declaring an ordinary technology to be the mark is a different and much less defensible claim.

[Revelation 17](#) and [Revelation 18](#) portray Babylon through themes of political power, spiritual infidelity, commerce, luxury, and judgment. These chapters may be read within a futurist framework as anticipating a climactic anti-God world order. However, the word *Babylon* cannot be responsibly affixed as a demonstrated identity to every current institution, development programme, or international agreement. There must remain a distinction between a scriptural category, an interpretive hypothesis, and the historical identification of a specific actor.

The Church is explicitly warned against deception. [Matthew 24:4–8](#) speaks of wars, famines and earthquakes, but Christ cautions that such events do not by themselves mean the end has already arrived. [1 Thessalonians 5:1–6](#) calls believers to sobriety and watchfulness. [1 John 4:1](#) commands the testing of spiritual claims, while [1 Thessalonians 5:21](#) commends careful examination. These commands apply to viral prophecy videos as well as to public statements by international organizations.

The theological problem of Bailey's proposed religious synthesis is therefore substantial in its own right. A worldview that redefines Christ according to esoteric hierarchy and universalized religious revelation conflicts with the exclusivity of the biblical gospel. But the faithful response is doctrinal testing, not factual invention. For those who expect the pretribulational Rapture, vigilance should deepen hope in Christ's return rather than generate a confident prediction of its date. [Titus 2:11–13](#) connects that hope to godly living, and [Revelation 19:11–16](#) ultimately directs attention to Christ's victorious sovereignty.

15. An awakening grounded in evidence, moral responsibility and Christian hope

The danger of the current period is not imaginary. The record documents deliberate warfare, severe political repression, organized influence campaigns, corruption affecting public safety, declining internet freedom, and extensive development of infrastructure capable of concentrating sensitive information and administrative power. Authentic historical records demonstrate that governments have conducted environmental modification experiments for military purposes. Authentic policy documents show that international institutions seek to update governance arrangements on a large scale. Those conclusions are sufficient to demand serious public

accountability (Freedom House, [2026](#); World Meteorological Organization, [2025b](#)).

At the same time, accuracy requires rejecting claims that out-run the evidence. A wildfire is not proven arson because it occurred near a politically sensitive meeting. A cyclone is not proof of military cloud seeding because a different government once experimented with increasing rainfall. A collection of protests is not a centrally directed revolution simply because photographs resemble one another. An esoteric book is not an operational order to the United Nations merely because it advocates international cooperation. A forged antisemitic document cannot become truthful because a modern reader finds its story familiar.

The Church's role should therefore be both courageous and disciplined. Christians can oppose surveillance abuses, demand due process and transparency, defend the vulnerable, question emergency powers, expose proven corruption, and critique teachings incompatible with Scripture. They can also refuse to demonize whole peoples, spread fabricated quotations, or reduce victims of war and disaster to illustrations in an unverified conspiracy narrative. Faithful discernment honours the sufferer as a neighbour and truth as a moral obligation.

An awake Church is not simply a Church that suspects every institution. It is a Church that tests claims carefully, knows the Scriptures, speaks the truth, protects those at risk, and refuses coercive allegiance that conflicts with the lordship of Jesus Christ. The strongest warning to the world is that institutional systems, however technologically sophisticated, cannot provide ultimate salvation or replace divine authority. The Christian's responsibility is to remain faithful, informed, compassionate and prepared.

A pastoral summons drawn from the author's earlier writings

The author's extended engagement with these themes returns repeatedly to one question: what does spiritual readiness require of Christians while governments, markets, technology and culture are changing? The answer cannot be to master an occult calendar or to become dependent upon a single commentator's predictions. It must begin with repentance, biblical instruction, truthful testimony, compassion for those suffering, and refusal to trade loyalty to Christ for material security. As the earlier writings on Babylon and a coming world religion argue, counterfeit worship is finally more serious than institutional modernization because it concerns the object of human allegiance. [John 14:6](#), [Acts 4:12](#) and [Galatians 1:6-9](#) provide the theological measure for testing religious synthesis (Sangwa, [2026g](#)).

Watchfulness also demands that churches teach members to examine sources responsibly. The rapid circulation of frightening montages, unattributed secret documents and categorical claims about diseases can produce a community that is constantly alarmed yet poorly equipped to distinguish a genuine warning from error. The author's own 2026 study on algorithmic conspiratoriality directly addresses this danger. [1 John 4:1](#) calls for testing rather than reflexive acceptance; [1 Thessalonians 5:21](#) directs believers to examine and retain what is good. This commitment protects the Church against ideological manipulation from powerful institutions and from those who claim to oppose them (Sangwa, [2026c](#)).

As 2027–2030 approaches, the Church should ask whether governance structures are suppressing religious expression, requiring unjust economic allegiance, unlawfully surveilling communities or depriving people of due process. It should demand documentary transparency from authorities and resist proven coercion with lawful moral courage. It should also minister to people displaced by conflict and disaster rather than treat them solely as signs of prophecy. Within the author's pretribulation reading, [Titus 2:11-13](#) and [1 Thessalonians 4:13-18](#) locate the Church's blessed hope in Christ Himself. The warning is urgent, but its message is neither despair nor date-setting: remain faithful, speak truthfully and be ready for the Lord (Sangwa, [2026g](#); Sangwa, [2026b](#)).

Conclusion: the decisive question is how power is being exercised

The crises visible from mid-2025 to October 2026 are sufficiently numerous, severe, and interconnected to require sustained global attention. Their interdependence is real: armed conflict can create hunger and disease; corruption can magnify flooding; economic pressure can trigger demonstrations; violent responses can erode legitimacy; digital restrictions can intensify political confrontations; and emergencies can accelerate previously proposed policy changes. Deliberate human decisions can produce much of the harm, and other actors can exploit the damage for advantage. None of these conclusions depends on accepting an unverified theory of a single universal command structure.

The historical and institutional texts provide crucial context. Project Popeye and Commando Lava prove particular covert environmental experiments. Agenda 21, Agenda 2030, the Pact for the Future, and the Global Digital Compact demonstrate public programmes of international policy coordination. The Great Reset demonstrates the explicit promotion of crisis as an opportunity for reform. Bailey's works present a religious and political vision deserving biblical critique. Alleged secret lists without provenance, and the demonstrably forged *Protocols*, do not possess comparable evidentiary standing. Once these differences are respected, the pattern becomes clearer rather than less serious (U.S. Department of State, [1967a](#); United Nations, [2024b](#)).

The coming years should be assessed through a simple but demanding question: **Who exercises which powers, under what legal or technical authority, with what evidence of accountability, and with what consequences for truth, freedom of conscience, and the dignity of human beings?** If a coercive system develops, those are the records through which its development can be demonstrated. If policies retain proper limitations and safeguards, fidelity to truth requires acknowledging those safeguards as well. Neither credulity toward power nor reflexive suspicion is the Christian standard.

For believers, history remains under God's sovereignty. The Scriptures warn of deception and a future anti-God order, but they also forbid fear-driven certainty where Christ has not supplied a date. [Revelation 22:7](#) calls attention to the coming of Christ, and [1 Thessalonians 5:6](#) commands watchfulness and self-control. The appropriate awakening is therefore an awakening to evidence, holiness, courage, care for neighbours, and unshakable confidence in Jesus Christ.

DOCUMENTARY APPENDIX A

Selected incident chronology, June 2025–October 2026

The following register is deliberately selective rather than exhaustive. The entries identify events established by accessible documentary or professional reporting and show why they matter analytically. They do not claim that these events share an organizer or that every incident depicted in a viral montage has been independently authenticated.

Period / place	Documented event and relevance	Source
Jun 2025 · Kenya	Renewed youth demonstrations focused on taxation, living costs and police accountability.	Source
Jun 2025 · Israel / Iran	Twelve-day Israel–Iran conflict involved deliberate state strikes and retaliation.	Source
26 Jun–Sep 2025 · Pakistan	Monsoon flooding caused extensive casualties and displacement; UNICEF situation assessments.	Source
Jul 2025 · Texas, USA	Catastrophic flash flooding; meteorological conditions and concentrated rainfall assessed by NWS.	Source
29 Jul 2025 · Kamchatka	Major magnitude-8.8 earthquake registered by the USGS.	Source
Aug 2025 · Indonesia	Student protests over lawmakers' allowances and subsequent escalation.	Source
Aug 2025 · EU wildfires	More than 1.07 million hectares burned, the EU's highest recorded total.	Source
31 Aug 2025 · Afghanistan	Deadly eastern Afghanistan earthquake identified in EM-DAT's annual assessment.	Source
31 Aug 2025 · Afghanistan	UN documented devastating earthquake and housing vulnerability.	Source
Sep 2025 · Nepal	Anti-censorship and anti-corruption demonstrations escalated into a political crisis.	Source
Sep 2025 · Philippines	Flood-control corruption allegations produced mass protests and legislative inquiries.	Source
Sep–Oct 2025 · Madagascar	Protests about services and corruption contributed to major political upheaval.	Source
Oct 2025 · Peru	Youth-led demonstrations reflected insecurity and distrust in political institutions.	Source
28 Oct 2025 · Caribbean	Hurricane Melissa struck Jamaica as a Category 5 cyclone and affected the wider region.	Source
29 Oct–3 Nov 2025 · Tanzania	Documented allegations of unlawful lethal force against election protesters.	Source
Nov 2025 · Brazil	Indigenous protesters challenged land-use and extractive projects at COP30.	Source
28 Nov 2025 · Sri Lanka	Cyclone Ditwah caused extensive floods, landslides and displacement.	Source
Dec 2025 · Bulgaria	Anti-corruption mobilization contributed to government resignation.	Source
Jan 2026 · Sudan	UN reported nearly 34 million people in need following 1,000 days of war.	Source
Jan 2026 · Mozambique	Severe flooding affected more than 650,000 people across seven provinces.	Source
Feb–Mar 2026 · Iran / Gulf	Military conflict and Strait of Hormuz oil disruptions transmitted global price pressures.	Source
May 2026 · DRC/Uganda	Ebola Bundibugyo outbreak declared a public health emergency of international concern.	Source
May 2026 · Jamaica	UN-led examination of long-term consequences of Hurricane Melissa.	Source
Jul 2026 · Geneva	UN convened Global Dialogue on AI Governance; further meeting scheduled for 2027.	Source
Aug 2026 · Nepal	Flood destroyed a resettled community, exposing compounded planning vulnerabilities.	Source
Sep 2026 · Ukraine	UN documented intensified civilian casualties linked to missiles and drones.	Source
Oct 2026 · Mexico	Floods linked to Hurricane Simon and Tropical Storm Rachel remnants.	Source
Oct 2026 · France	Social-media footage included violent events and misleading contextualization.	Source
6 Oct 2026 · DRC	WHO reported 8,728 confirmed Ebola cases and 4,205 confirmed deaths in the DRC.	Source
17 Oct 2026 · France	Major nationwide demonstrations, followed by riots in some locations.	Source
19 Oct 2026 · France	Fox News published its analysis of 54 organizations within a wider protest ecosystem.	Source
19 Oct 2026 · Gaza	UN highlighted hundreds of thousands of displaced people exposed to flood risk.	Source
20 Oct 2026 · Europe	Reporting traced overlapping but distinct pressures behind demonstrations in several states.	Source

Chronology compiled from the linked records. Some statistical estimates and event classifications are subject to later revision.

DOCUMENTARY APPENDIX B

Historical-source authentication and evidentiary boundaries

Document	Source status	What it establishes and does not establish
Project Popeye, 1967	Authenticated diplomatic memorandum	Documented proposal and experiment concerning increased rainfall over wartime supply routes; no proof of contemporary storms.
Commando Lava, 1967	Authenticated diplomatic telegram	Documented soil-destabilization experiment along selected Laos routes; not a general earthquake- or hurricane-control method.
ENMOD, 1976	Authentic international treaty	Establishes prohibition of hostile environmental modification meeting treaty thresholds; not evidence any alleged operation occurred.
Agenda 21, 1992	Authentic public UN programme	Provides sustainable-development policy proposals; no provision for secretly engineering all contemporary crises.
2030 Agenda, 2015	Authentic public UN resolution	Sets 17 goals and 169 targets with voluntary, nationally led reviews; not legal abolition of sovereignty.
Pact for the Future, 2024	Authentic UN agreement	Documents coordinated reforms in multilateral and digital policy; not proof of a covert command over protests.
Great Reset, 2020	Authentic WEF public initiative	Advocates taking advantage of crisis to reshape social and economic policy; does not prove the crisis was created by its proponents.
Alice Bailey, 1940s	Authentic esoteric publications	Documents proposals concerning religious synthesis and world unity; doctrinally assessable but not operational orders to governments.
"21 Illuminati goals"	Unverified provenance	No authenticated canonical original established in the consulted materials; unsuitable as evidence of an implemented plan.
Protocols of the Elders of Zion	Established antisemitic forgery	Not authentic testimony; historically plagiarized and used to promote antisemitic propaganda.

DOCUMENTARY APPENDIX C

How to test an allegation of deliberate orchestration

Causal mechanism. Identify the physical, political, financial, or technical process by which the alleged actor could have produced the particular outcome. Vague references to secret technology or shared rhetoric are insufficient.

Actor and capability. Establish who performed the action, whether they possessed the required capability, whether they had access to the place or system, and whether independent records document that access.

Timing and primary evidence. Correlate the event with original records, communications, financial transactions, geospatial data, legal instruments, forensic measurements, or independently authenticated witness accounts.

Alternative explanations. Assess ordinary meteorology, geology, institutional incentives, political grievances, negligence, accidental ignition, and other plausible mechanisms before asserting clandestine causation.

Beneficiaries versus perpetrators. Show the difference between an actor who caused a crisis, an actor who amplified it, an actor who exploited it, and an actor who independently benefited from it.

Reproducibility. Make documentation accessible enough for an independent reader to reconstruct the reasoning and identify which conclusions are established, which are plausible, and which remain unsupported.

References

Documentary, scientific, historical, and theological sources are listed together, with direct links to original records wherever available.

The author's previously published works are included to document the chronology of earlier warnings and the retrospective comparison; publication before a crisis does not independently prove the cause of that crisis.

ACLED. (2025). [Conflict Index & 2026 Watchlist](#).

Al Jazeera. (2025, June 26). Visualising 12 days of the Israel–Iran conflict. [Source](#)

Amnesty International. (2025, December 19). [Tanzania: Security forces used unlawful lethal force in election protest crackdown and took away dead bodies](#).

Associated Press. (2025a, September). Nepal anti-censorship and anti-corruption protests. [Source](#)

Associated Press. (2025b). Peru protests and political instability. [Source](#)

Associated Press. (2025c). [Philippine flood-control projects made sub-standard to allow huge kickbacks, Senate inquiry told](#).

Associated Press. (2025d). [Riot police clash with students protesting lawmakers' allowances in Indonesia](#).

Associated Press. (2026a, October 17). [Clashes, fires and looting break out as protesters rally across France, joining students](#).

Associated Press. (2026b, October 14). Death toll rises as Hurricane Simon and Rachel remnants unleash floods in Mexico. [Source](#)

Associated Press. (2026c, October 20). [How France's worst rioting in years erupted and how the government responded](#).

Associated Press. (2026d, October 16). Nepal flood reporting and risks to resettled disaster survivors. [Source](#)

Bailey, A. A. (1948). [The reappearance of the Christ](#). Lucis Trust.

Bailey, A. A. (1964). [Problems of humanity](#). Lucis Trust.

Bank for International Settlements. (2025, August 22). [Advancing in tandem: Results of the 2024 BIS survey on central bank digital currencies and crypto](#) (BIS Paper No. 159).

Bondar, K. (2026, April 13). How Russia is building a sovereign drone ecosystem for AI-driven autonomy. Center for Strategic and International Studies. <https://www.csis.org/analysis/how-russia-building-sovereign-drone-ecosystem-ai-driven-autonomy>

British Library. (2023, April 26). Response to Freedom of Information request 2345: Pike–Mazzini correspondence. https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/pike_3_world_wars

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2002). [Investigation of bio-terrorism-related anthrax, United States, 2001: Epidemiologic findings](#).

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2025, July 30). [White House, tech leaders commit to create patient-centric healthcare ecosystem](#).

Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters. (2026). [2025 disasters in numbers: EM-DAT annual report](#).

Eisenstadt, M. (2026, February 20). Deterrence and escalation dynamics with Iran: Insights from four decades of conflict and a twelve-day war. The Washington Institute for Near East Policy. <https://www.washingtoninstitute.org/policy-analysis/deterrence-and-escalation-dynamics-iran-insights-four-decades-conflict-and-twelve>

European Commission. (n.d.). [European Digital Identity Wallet](#).

European Commission, Joint Research Centre. (2026, March 31). [2025 was EU's most destructive wildfire season on record](#).

Federal Bureau of Investigation. (n.d.). And justice for all, 1954–1971. FBI historical account. [Source](#)

Freedom House. (2025, November 13). [Persistent authoritarian repression and backsliding in democracies drive 15th consecutive year of decline in global internet freedom](#).

Freedom House. (2026, March 19). [Global freedom declined for 20th consecutive year in 2025](#).

High-frequency Active Auroral Research Program (n.d.). [Frequently asked questions: Can HAARP control or manipulate the weather?](#)

International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (2026). Mozambique: Floods 2026. [Source](#)

Kilian, L., Plante, M. D., & Richter, A. W. (2026, March 20). What the closure of the Strait of Hormuz means for the global economy. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. [Source](#)

Le Monde. (2026, October 19). Violent images from French protests go viral on social media. [Source](#)

Le Monde. (2026, October 20). [Italy, Spain, Belgium, Portugal: Social unrest spreads across Europe amid soaring energy prices and a housing crisis](#).

Lucis Trust (n.d.). [The externalisation of the hierarchy](#).

Minniti, F., & Pili, G. (2026, July 22). Russia's selective adaptation: Command, control and the limits of learning in war. Royal United Services Institute. <https://www.rusi.org/explore-our-research/publications/commentary/russias-selective-adaptation-command-control-and-limits-learning-war>

National Weather Service. (2025). July 2025 weather highlights. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. [Source](#)

Nomani, A. Q. (2026, October 19). [Inside France's "Chaos Inc" franchise of communist groups, labor unions and global activists](#). Fox News.

Panella, C., & Baker, K. (2026, October 20). The US Army is learning hard lessons from Ukraine and the Middle East on how to prepare and protect air defenders. Business Insider. <https://www.businessinsider.com/us-army-learning-air-defense-lessons-from-ukraine-middle-east-2026-10>

Reuters. (2025a, November 14). Indigenous activists block entrance to COP30 venue in Belém. [Source](#)

Reuters. (2025b). Kenya youth demonstrations. Reuters Screenocean. [Source](#)

Reuters. (2025c). Timeline of recent anti-government protests in Madagascar. [Source](#)

Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism. (2026). Bulgaria. Digital News Report 2026. [Source](#)

Sangwa, S. (2024a). [Could 2025 herald unforeseen challenges?](#) Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2024b). [Could 2025 usher in spiritual turmoil heralding the Antichrist's rise?](#) Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2024c). [Could Marburg virus be a potential bioweapon and part of a larger agenda?](#) Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2024d). [Evidence of elite manipulation through geoengineering and energy weapons?](#) Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2024e). [Pact for the future: A framework for the prophesied one-world government or Babylon the Great?](#) Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2024f). [The back side of Agenda 2030: How does the agenda align with end-time prophecies?](#) Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2024g). [Was the third world war long planned, and what does it imply for Christians today?](#) Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2024h). [What are/how do the Illuminati's 14 stages of World War III align with biblical prophecy?](#) Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2024i). [Who really controls the weather and climate?](#) Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2024j). [Why does Alice Bailey's 'externalization of the hierarchy' foreshadow the Antichrist's reign \(New World Order\) in 2025?](#) Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2025a). [June 2025 prophetic updates: From warning to fulfillment: Is the beast emerging from the sea of chaos?](#) Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2025b). [Secret societies, central banking, digital surveillance and the Great Reset: The serpentine strategy and biblical prophecy](#). Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2025c). [Statistical evidence of covert weather warfare in the 2025 global disaster surge: Assessing climate geoengineering impacts](#). Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2025d). [The Great General Assembly of 2025: Unveiling an occult agenda and biblical prophecy](#). Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2026a). [Could digital public infrastructure become the backbone of conditional participation?](#) Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2026b). [Disciplined discernment and stigmatized knowledge: A Christian social epistemology of conspiracy labels, institutional trust, and knowledge control](#). Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2026c). [Eschatological anxiety and algorithmic conspiratoriality: A Scripture-first critique of digital end-time narratives](#). Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2026d). [Pawns, powers, and principalities: Secret networks, global power, and the biblical end-time conflict](#). Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2026e). [Signs in the sky and systems beneath: Environmental modification, hidden power, and Christian discernment](#). Open Christian Press.

Sangwa, S. (2026f). [The 2030 agenda's final push and the biblical test of global salvation language](#). Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.

- Sangwa, S. (2026g). [The coming world religion: Tracing global religious convergence toward the great prostitute and the final apostasy](#). Open Christian Press.
- Sangwa, S. (2026h). [The stages of World War III as narrative policy: Computational mapping of conspiracy discourse and biblical prophecy](#). Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.
- Sangwa, S., & Mutabazi, P. (2025). [Lucifer's operating system: Exposing the spiritual code of global governance](#). Open Christian Education / Open Christian Press.
- Schwab, K. (2020, June 3). [Now is the time for a great reset](#). *World Economic Forum*.
- UNICEF (2025). Pakistan floods 2025 update. [Source](#)
- United Nations (1972). [Convention on the prohibition of the development, production and stockpiling of bacteriological \(biological\) and toxin weapons and on their destruction](#).
- United Nations. (1976). Convention on the prohibition of military or any other hostile use of environmental modification techniques. [Source](#)
- United Nations. (1992). [Agenda 21](#).
- United Nations. (2015). [Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development](#).
- United Nations. (2024a). [Global Digital Compact](#).
- United Nations. (2024b). [Pact for the Future: About the Pact](#).
- United Nations. (2026). [One thousand days of conflict in Sudan](#).
- United Nations Development Programme. (2025, December). [One-fifth of Sri Lanka inundated by Cyclone Ditwah: UNDP analysis](#).
- United Nations Human Rights Monitoring Mission in Ukraine. (2026, October 15). [Protection of civilians in armed conflict: September 2026](#).
- United Nations in Jamaica. (2026, May 1). Assessment of the effects and impacts of Hurricane Melissa in Jamaica. [Source](#)
- United Nations Office at Geneva (2025). UN Geneva press briefing: Afghanistan earthquake response, 9 September 2025. [Source](#)
- United Nations Office for Digital and Emerging Technologies. (2026). [Global dialogue on AI governance: Roadmap](#).
- United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. (2025). [Global assessment report on disaster risk reduction 2025](#).
- United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. (2026, October 19). [Humanitarian situation report: 15 October 2026 \(Occupied Palestinian Territory\)](#).
- United States Holocaust Memorial Museum. (n.d.). [An antisemitic conspiracy: The Protocols of the Elders of Zion](#).
- U.S. Department of State. (1967a, January 13). [Memorandum on weather modification in North Vietnam and Laos \(Project Popeye\)](#).
- U.S. Department of State. (1967b, May 29). [Telegram on Operation Com-mando Lava](#).
- U.S. Geological Survey. (2019). [Injection-induced earthquakes](#).
- U.S. Senate. (1975). Covert action in Chile, 1963–1973: Staff report of the Church Committee. [Source](#)
- U.S. Senate. (1976). Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities: The Church Committee. [Source](#)
- World Health Organization. (2025, May 20). [World Health Assembly adopts historic Pandemic Agreement](#).
- World Health Organization. (2026a, May 21). [Ebola disease caused by Bundibugyo virus: Democratic Republic of the Congo](#).
- World Health Organization. (2026b, October 8). [Ebola disease caused by Bundibugyo virus: Democratic Republic of the Congo](#).
- World Meteorological Organization (2025). [WMO statement on weather modification](#).
- World Meteorological Organization. (2025a, October 28). Category 5 Hurricane Melissa hits Jamaica and Caribbean islands. [Source](#)
- World Meteorological Organization. (2025b, June 14). [WMO statement on weather modification](#).
- World Meteorological Organization. (2026, March 23). [State of the global climate 2025](#).
- World Weather Attribution. (2025, December 29). [Unequal evidence and impacts, limits to adaptation: Extreme weather in 2025](#).